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## INTRODUCTION

Knee osteoarthritis (OA) is characterized by progressive and irreversible degradation of tibiofemoral (TF) cartilages. Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) rupture is a known risk factor for post-traumatic OA (PTOA) [1]. However, there are currently no in-vivo tests to diagnose pre-radiographic PTOA. Following injury, the cartilage macromolecular matrix weakens, cartilage swells and consequently cartilage stiffness decreases [2]. Current research investigates the invivo effects of ACL injury on cartilage deformation magnitude and rate as a potential pre-radiographic PTOA diagnostic. The objective of this project was to determine the consequences of cartilage model mesh types and incremental mesh simplifications on the accuracy of resultant TF cartilage deformation estimates.

## METHODS

The affected knee of a 37 year old male PTOA subject (ACL deficient for 6 years) was imaged using Magnetic Resonance Imaging (FIESTA sequence; 3T GE Discovery 750). 3D TF bone and cartilage models were generated in Amira (VSG, Germany). The subject performed a 10 minute standing task in the Dual Fluoroscopic (DF) laboratory. DF images ( $32 \mathrm{LP} / \mathrm{mm}$ ) were collected at 6 Hz . Bone alignments were reconstructed from DF images using AutoScoper (Brown University, USA) and cartilage models were co-registered. TF cartilage surface proximity was determined as the surface normal distance from each triangular mesh face onto the opposing cartilage. (Matlab, v2015a, The MathWorks, USA).

The effects on surface proximities of three types of triangular cartilage surface meshes, generated in Amira, were analysed:

1) Basic Simplification - reduced face numbers with variable mesh size; 2) Isotropic Mesh - a mesh of uniformly sized triangles; 3) Smoothed Isotropic Mesh - iterative smoothing of (2) in Amira. Face numbers were reduced at $10 \%$ increments from the original surface for each surface type.

## RESULTS

Median proximity errors for the Isotropic Mesh were consistently smaller than the other mesh types across all four cartilage surface compartments. The medial tibial plateau
displayed a rapid increase in error (Figure 1) indicating a high sensitivity to model simplification. This may have been due to its more complex surface geometry. The maximum acceptable error was chosen to match the minimum detectable displacement of 0.05 mm for this DF system [3].


Figure 1: Absolute error averaged across all frames for each medial tibia surface type with corresponding computation time plotted against mesh triangle side length.

## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The findings of this investigation identified differences in the error of cartilage surface proximities under loading due to the use of different mesh types and simplifications. The smoothing technique used by Amira did not consistently converge to a surface and the variable triangle size in Basic Simplification affected the computation of proximity, resulting in unpredictable error spikes in cartilage surface proximity calculations. Therefore the Isotropic Mesh was the preferred surface type.

The results suggest that surface modeling parameters are surface geometry specific. The limiting case of the medial tibial plateau showed the optimal simplification was 0.59 mm triangle mesh side length ( $40 \%$ of the original faces). These results inform ongoing work toward an in-vivo preradiographic diagnostic of PTOA.
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