

Predictors of Student Motivation to Succeed in First-Year College Mathematics: A Quantitative Analysis⁴

FAYEZ SEIFEDDINE

ABSTRACT: The paper investigated whether students' mathematical self-concept and demographic characteristics could be used to predict students' motivation to succeed in first-year college mathematics. A sample of 407 participants consisting of 233 male and 174 female students were surveyed with a 40-item Questionnaire that sought to measure students' mathematical self-concept and motivation. Confirmatory factor analysis supported the existence of a mathematical self-concept scale and four motivational subscales (intrinsic motivation: relevance/significance of math; perception of math; interest/enjoyment of math; & extrinsic motivation: expectation of future income). The correlations among the motivation, self-concept, and demographic variables revealed theoretically consistent interrelationships. Multiple regression analyses indicated that mathematics self-concept, demographic variables, and extrinsic motivational factor accounted for significant amounts of the variance in students' motivation to succeed in first-year college mathematics. The results are discussed in relation to current theory and their implications for teaching and learning mathematics.

RESUMÉ: on a cherché à savoir si la perception que les étudiants ont des mathématiques et si leurs caractéristiques démographiques pouvaient jouer un rôle dans la prévision de leur motivation à réussir leur première année en Mathématiques. Un sondage a été mené au sein d'un panel de quatre cent-sept participants composé de deux cent-trente-trois étudiants et cent soixante-quatorze étudiantes. Un questionnaire de quarante points a été mis au point pour évaluer leur motivation et leur perception des mathématiques. L'analyse factorielle confirmatoire a soutenu l'existence d'un niveau de perception des mathématiques ainsi que quatre sous-éléments de motivation établis sur un barème (la motivation intrinsèque : pertinence/sens des mathématiques, la perception des maths, l'intérêt ou le plaisir et enfin la motivation extrinsèque : attente des résultats à venir.) Les corrélations entre la motivation, la perception et les facteurs démographiques ont indiqué, en théorie, des interactivités importantes. Les analyses de régression multiples ont permis de constater que la perception

⁴ Mathematics teaching and learning (Grouws, 1992; Glanfield, 2003; Slavin, 2007). These research efforts (e.g. Reynolds & Walberg, 1991; Steinkamp & Maehr, 1983) have uncovered several factors which facilitate mathematics achievement, including student characteristics (use of learning strategies), home environment (parents as role models), and school context (teachers & quality of instruction). Among the student characteristics, motivational and emotional factors such as interest, enjoyment, or task motivation (McLeod, 1992) were also found to play a significant but indirect

des mathématiques, les données démographiques et le facteur de motivation extrinsèque sont responsables, en grande partie, des variations de motivation chez les étudiants pour réussir leur première année du programme en mathématiques. Les résultats ainsi que les répercussions dans l'enseignement et dans l'apprentissage sont argumentés en rapport avec la théorie actuelle,

INTRODUCTION

Statement of the Problem

Mathematics is an indispensable and necessary educational foundation. Despite this importance, however, there is continued evidence that Canadian students are failing to achieve a level of mathematical proficiency necessary to succeed in higher education environments (Glanfield, 2003; Slavin, 2007). First year university students are failing mathematics courses at alarming rates (Slavin, 2007). Remediation of early failure and low retention rates among this population can be financially costly. Given these perspectives, it is not surprising that much research has been devoted to identify the factors that facilitate and enhance role in mathematics achievement (Aiken, 1974; Schneider & Bos, 1985; Steinkamp & Maehr, 1983; Wilson & Palmer, 1983). For example, research findings suggest that problem solving, creativity, and deep comprehension of learning material requires high levels of positive emotions and intrinsic motivation (Csikszentmihalyi, 1988b; McLeod, 1992; McLeod & Adams, 1989; Schiefele, 1992).

The limited mathematics achievements by Canadian high school students indicate a need to investigate more deeply the motivation of first-year university students to determine whether or not they have the same levels of motivation to succeed in first-year math and how the different levels of motivation could be predicted and explained.

Research Objectives

This is important because motivated students are at an advantage both in terms of learning and performance (Artelt, 2005). Self-motivation is cited by students and teachers alike as one of the most influential aspects of student success in the university mathematics classrooms (Anthony, 2000). The motivation concept is mentioned frequently in the research literature as essential to mathematics success, but remains challenging to quantify and little has been done to investigate the main predictors of motivation. The purpose of this study is to explore some of these predictors of motivation to succeed in first-year university mathematics, namely mathematics self-concept, student demographic characteristics such as the number of mathematics courses taken, age and gender.

STUDENTS' MOTIVATION

Introduction

Research has shown that student engagement in mathematics plays a key role in the acquisition of mathematics skills and knowledge, course selection, educational pathways, and later career choices (OECD, 2005; Astin, 1968; Carnegie Commission, 1973; Sells, 1978; Sherman, 1982). The historical and contemporary underrepresentation of women in science and technology in Canada and elsewhere has long been attributed to the fact that women have tended to fear mathematics and avoid it as much as they could (Acker & Oatley, 1993; Drolet, 2001; Shapka et al, 2006).

Definition of Students' Motivation

The concept of motivation is complex and multi-faceted and has been the main focus of much of the research literature. Motivation is sometimes referred to as student motivation or academic motivation (Winn et al, 2006; Winn, 2002), teachers' motivation (Brophy, 1991), and social motivation (Winn et al, 2006).

Motivation is defined as a psychological process that imparts purpose, direction and intensity to human behaviour and consequently responsible for differential work output (Mwangi & McCaslin, 1994). Thus motivation is believed to propel and direct students to engage in academic activities, and determine how much is learned from such activities (Slavin, 1995; Tuckman, 1991). Motivation is also regarded as a psychological construct that triggers and sustains persistence and effort among individuals (Byrnes, 2003). According to Ryan and Deci (2000), motivation is the urge to do something or to undertake and accomplish an activity. In particular, motivation is also characterized as a fundamental aspect of teaching and learning in academia, hence the terms academic motivation, students' motivation, and teachers' motivation (Brewer & Burgess, 2005; Zimbardo, Weber & Johnson, 2000) and encompasses goal orientation, sustained cognitive engagement, cognitive strategies utilization, self-regulation and self efficacy (Pintrich & DeGroot, 1990; Pintrich, 2000).

This study uses the term student motivation as the disposition to pursue meaningful and worthwhile academic activities for their intended academic benefits (Brophy, 1991) and it is the interaction of several factors including learner goals, beliefs, and emotions which play a prominent role in all learning processes and may be referred to as domain-specific, such as the motivation to learn and succeed in mathematics, English, or Science (Brophy (1983, 1991).

Student motivation is thus a complex process involving an interaction of cognitive and affective factors. Motivated students have the ability to use higher cognitive processes to learn, absorb, and retain more from an academic subject (Graham & Golan, 1991). Motivated students make the effort to comprehend the subject matter, improve performance, seek challenges, and persist at tasks even in the face of failure (Woolfolk, 1990; Brophy, 1983; Good & Brophy, 1997; Slavin, 1997).

Theories of Motivation

Recent approaches to understanding student motivation are informed by a number of theories including self-efficacy theory (Bandura, 1977), attribution theory (Weiner, 1985), and self-worth theory (Covington, 1992). However, self-determination theory (Deci & Ryan, 1985) has been the most influential, which dichotomizes student motivation into intrinsic and extrinsic motivations. Intrinsically motivated students engage in an academic activity for its own sake, such as the satisfaction derived from learning mathematics (Middleton and Spanias, 1999; Ames & Archer, 1988; Dudas & Nicholls, 1992; Dweck, 1986; Lepper, 1988).

On the other hand, extrinsically motivated students engage in an academic activity for instrumental reasons, such as gaining a college degree in mathematics in order to improve employment prospects in actuary science or engineering (Deci & Ryan, 1985), good grades and teacher's approval, or to avoid punishment such as bad grades or disapproval (Ames, 1992; Ames & Archer, 1988; Dudas & Nicholls, 1992; Dweck, 1986; Vansteenkiste, Lens, & Deci, 2006). Several empirical studies have underscored the effects of extrinsic motivational factors, showing for example that more extrinsic motivation leads to greater college engagement (Skinner, Connell & Wellborn, 1990), better performance (Miserandino, 1996), less college dropping-out (Vallerand & Bissonnette, 1992), and higher quality learning (Grolnick & Ryan, 1987; Race, 1998) and *assessment systems* that foster conceptual understanding (Newstead & Hoskins, 1999). According to the self-determination theory (Ryan & Deci, 2000), both intrinsic and extrinsic motivations are needed in order to adequately foster learning and achievements in the individual (Winn et al, 2006; Deci & Ryan, 1985),

The research literature has identified several dimensions of Deci & Ryan's (1985) intrinsic motivation to learn, including interest, enjoyment, relevance, and perceived probability of success, expectancy of success or confidence, and satisfaction (Burden, 1995; Horn, 1995). These

components of motivation were used in a model to study student engagement (Shernoff, Knauth, & Makris, 2000; Shernoff, Schneider, & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000). Research has shown that these factors are positively related to student engagement, which indicate that higher levels of interest, enjoyment and concentration lead to higher levels of engagement in the particular task or activity (Shernoff, Knauth, & Makris, 2000; Shernoff, Schneider, & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000; Statscan, 2004).

Self-determination theory is supported and reinforced by attribution theory (Ames & Ames, 1984; Weiner, 1979, 1984, 1985b; Wittrock, 1986). The implications of self-determination and attribution theories, as described above, are that intrinsic motivation to succeed is related to students' perceptions of themselves in relation to a particular academic subject. In addition, research findings suggest that motivational patterns as described above are learned and this learning becomes an integral part of their self-concepts (Eccles, Wigfield, & Reuman, 1987; Midgley, Feldlaufer, & Eccles, 1989; Dossey et al, 1988). This implies that, for example, motivation to learn and succeed in mathematics eventually becomes an integral part of students' mathematical self-concepts. In theory this implies that students' motivation to succeed in mathematics is rooted in the positive attitudes and feelings they develop about mathematics (Mathematics Self-Concept). It is then safe to hypothesize that students' motivation to succeed in mathematics is directly related to their mathematics self-concepts. It can thus be stated that, students with positive mathematical self-concepts are more highly motivated to study and succeed in mathematics than students with negative mathematics self-concepts. By implication, this means that any improvements in students' mathematics self-concepts will enhance their motivation to learn and succeed in mathematics.

This then begs the questions: What are students' self-concepts? And what are students' mathematics self-concepts? These questions are examined below.

STUDENT SELF-CONCEPT

Introduction

Research indicates that beliefs and perceptions about self are rooted in one's past achievement and reinforcement history (Bong & Skaalvik, 2003). Interests in these convictions about self have led to the proposition of various models and theories of self-related cognitions within the context of learning such as self-concept and self-efficacy. Self-concept is generally defined as one's general perceptions of self in a given domain of functioning (Bong & Skaalvik, 2003). On the other hand, self-efficacy is defined as the individuals' expectations and convictions of what they can accomplish in given situations. While self-concept and self-efficacy are similar in their explanatory and predictive roles in relation to motivation, emotions and achievements, they differ in the sense that whereas self-concept refers to past-oriented perceptions of the self, self-efficacy refers to future-oriented perceptions of the self (Bong & Skaalvik, 2003).

Definition of Self-Concept

Self-concept has also been defined in various other ways such as: the self-perceptions that individuals have about their academic abilities, especially their feelings and knowledge about these abilities and skills (Byrne, 1984, p. 428); the organization of qualities that the individual attributes to himself (Kinch, 1963); the sum total of the individual's thoughts and feelings having reference to himself as an object (Rosenberg, 1979); a multidimensional construct which comprises self-esteem, self-confidence, stability, and self-crystallization (Rosenberg & Kaplan, 1982), and a person's perceptions of himself which are formed through one's experiences with the environment, and influenced especially by environmental reinforcements and evaluations by significant others (Shavelson, Hubner, and Stanton, 1976, p. 411).

Shavelson et al (1976) further identified seven characteristics which are critical to the construct's definition: organized/structured, multifaceted, hierarchical, stable, developmental, evaluative, and differentiable. According to Shavelson, the general or global self-concept consists of two major categories: academic and non-academic self-concepts. The academic self concept

pertains to all specific academic subjects offered in educational context, as for example English Self-Concept, History Self-Concept, Mathematics Self-Concept, and Science Self-Concept. The non-academic self-concept includes domain-specific social self-concept, emotional self-concept, and physical self-concept. Social self-concept includes peers and significant others; emotional self-concept consists of particular emotional states, and physical self-concept is made up of physical ability and physical appearance (Marsh & Shavelson, 1985).

Recent self-concept studies focusing on the domain-specific self-concepts have produced consistent results in relation to the impact of self-concept such as correlations between mathematics self-concept and achievement (Marsh, 1990d, 1993). This means to understand the direct mediating and explanatory qualities of self-concept in relation to students' motivation, it is essential to use domain-related self-concepts such as the impact of academic or mathematics self-concepts on students' motivation.

Mathematics Self-Concept

Mathematics self-concept (MSC) refers to students' evaluation of self-perceived personal possession of math skills, abilities, math reasoning ability, enjoyment, and interest in mathematics (Marsh, 1990, 1996). Students' perception of their ability in mathematics is a critical goal in itself and a means of facilitating the attainment of desirable outcomes in education, such as academic effort, persistence at tasks, selection of courses, and completion of college (Marsh, 1991, 1993). Research has underscored the positive relation between student mathematics self-concept and students' motivation to learn mathematics (Marsh, 1991, 1993).

Given this positive relationship, it is postulated that any intervention designed to improve students' mathematics self-concept would positively affect students' motivation to learn and succeed in mathematics (Hemke, 1990; Eccles et al., 1983; Nagy et al., 2010; Eccles, Wigfield, Harold, & Blumfeld, 1993; Trautwein, Ludtke, Koller, & Baumer, 2006; Nagy et al, 2006; Eccles & Wigfield, 1995; Feather, 1988; Trautwein, Ludtke, Schnyder, & Niggli, 2006; Bong & Skaalvik, 2003). Self-concept and, in particular, its domain-specific academic self-concept such as Mathematics Self-Concept, has been used to predict various outcomes including motivation, emotion, and performance (Bong & Skaalvik, 2003).

Analysis of the Empirical Literature

Motivation is a function of content, process and decision-making. Sustained interest, enjoyment and goal setting have been found to increase classroom engagement and specifically the development of mathematics- and science -related aspirations (Shernoff & Hoogstra, 2001); to account for as much as 25% of student success in first year university math courses (MacNamara & Penner, 2005); 10 percent of the variance in academic achievement (Schiefele, Krapp, & Winteler, 1992; Schiefele, Krapp, & Winteler, 1992); college grades (Shernoff and Hoogstra, 2001); prediction of mathematics grades and mathematics course level taken (Schiefele and Csikszentmihalyi, 1995; Daniels, 2008). Other research has also shown that motivation was a strong predictor of mathematics achievement (Mousoulides and Philippou 2005; Human Resources Canada, 2004).

Several empirical studies have shown that academic self-concept is not only important as a desirable outcome in itself but, more importantly, it is a potential predictor of academic motivation and performance (Bong & Skaalvik, 2003; Skaalvik & Rankin, 1996b; Skinner, Wellborn, & Connell, 1990); academic efforts (Skaalvik & Rankin, 1995); help-seeking behaviours (Ames, 1983); course selection (Marsh & Yeung, 1997b); intrinsic motivation (Gottfried, 1990; Harter, 1982; Mac Iver, Stipek, & Daniels, 1991; Meece, Blumenfeld, & Hoyle, 1988; Skaalvik, 1997b, 1998; Skaalvik & Rankin, 1996b); academic achievement (Marsh, 1992; Marsh et al, 1988; Marsh & Yeung, 1997a; Shavelson & Bolus, 1982; Skaalvik & Hagtvet, 1990; Skaalvik & Vals, 1999; Coppersmith, 1967; Butcher, 1968; Marx & Winne, 1980; O'Marley & Bachman, 1979); term grades (Choi, 2005; Lenti et al, 1996); and explained 63 percent of the variance in students' motivation to learn mathematics (Githua & Mwangi, 2003).

Methodology

This section proposes analytical models and hypotheses of students' motivation and mathematics self-concept to capture and investigate the objectives of the study. It also delineates the sources of data used, sampling methods, instrumentation, that is, measurements of student motivation and mathematics self-concept. The analytical model is formally proposed and specified in the light of its assumptions and method of estimation.

Analytical Framework

The study of students' motivations is rooted in various educational and psychological theories as mentioned above. The implication of these theories is that the motivation to learn and succeed in an academic subject, such as mathematics, eventually becomes an integral part of students' mathematical self-concepts. It can thus be hypothesized that students' motivation to succeed in mathematics is directly related to their mathematics self-concepts (Shavelson, Hubner, & Stanton, 1976; Shavelson & Bolus, 1982; Marsh & Shavelson, 1985; Marsh, Byrne, & Shavelson, 1988; Marsh, 1986, 1987, 1990, 1992, 1993, 1999; Rosenberg, 1979; Bandura, 1986; Ames, 1983; Bong, 1998, 1999, 2001, 2002; Skaalvik, 1997, 1998). Based on these, an empirically verifiable functional relationship between students' motivation to succeed in mathematics and mathematical self-concept can be proposed mathematically as,

$$SMOT=f(MSC) \quad (1)$$

However, this analytical relationship is inadequate since theoretical and empirical research has shown that students' motivation might also be potentially influenced by social and contextual factors, such as extrinsic motivational factors (Ryan & Deci, 2000). The inclusion of expectation of future income is consistent with the motivational frameworks of Eccles (e.g. Eccles et al, 1983: utility value of a task), Ford (1992: material gain), Maehr (1984: extrinsic rewards), Markus and Nurius (1986: possible selves), Nuttin (1984, 1985: time perspective), and Raynor (1974a: future orientation). In fact Eccles' expectancy-value model (Eccles & Wigfield, 1992; Wigfield & Eccles, 2000) supports the fact that many academic activities are intrinsically and extrinsically motivated. Researchers in the personal construct paradigm have made very little attempt to explicate the pertinence of extrinsic motivators to mathematics learning and success. Further research in this paradigm is therefore critical to understanding the roles of grades and other incentives such as expectation of future career and income prospects in influencing students' motivation. The following full functional relationship is therefore proposed:

$$SMOT =f(MSC, \text{Extrinsic MOT, Demographic Factors}) \quad (2)$$

The demographic factors included in the model for purposes of estimation are gender, age, and the average number of mathematics courses taken by a student (level of math experience: Byrnes, 2003; Barnes et al, (2004).

The factor gender does not directly go into the actual estimation of the model. Since gender is either female or male, it is held as a controlling factor while the model is estimated separately for either female or male. In this way, it is possible to investigate whether there are gender differences in the effects of the independent variables on students' motivation.

Age is used to measure maturity, which is assumed to positively influence students' motivation (Didia & Hasnat, 1998). Research has shown that math grades increase with students' ages which reflect the fact that older students enter higher education with a sense of urgency and readiness to learn. Thus older students are more motivated to succeed than younger students (Gupta et al, 2006; Richardson, 1994; Trueman & Hartley, 1996; Keith, 1999). The full model can be shown as:

$$SMOT=f(MSC, \text{Exp. Income, Age, Number of Math}) \quad (3)$$

In specific mathematical terms, the model can be specified as follows:

$$SMOT = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \varepsilon \quad (4)$$

Where:

SMOT = students' motivation to succeed in mathematics, the dependant or response variable

β_0 = the intercept of the equation, where SMOT equals β_0 or the mean if the X's are all zero

X_1 = mathematical self-concept

X_2 = expectation of future income

X_3 = students' ages in years

X_4 = number of mathematics courses taken by each student

β_1 = effect of mathematical self-concept on students' motivation

β_2 = effect of expected future income (extrinsic factor) on students' motivation

β_3 = effect of age on students' motivation

β_4 = effect of the number of math courses taken on students' motivation

ε_i = stochastic error term included to account for the influence of probable factors not included here in the model, assumed normally distributed and homoscedastic.

X's are independent or predictor variables

β 's are the coefficients or parameters to be estimated from the model which indicate the strength of association between the X's and SMOT, or measure the effect of each of the independent variables on students' motivation to succeed in mathematics

Model's Assumptions

The model is based on the following classical linear regression assumptions (Greene, 2007; Baum, 2006): error terms are normally distributed with mean zero and constant variance: $\varepsilon_i \sim N[0, \sigma^2]$

Model's Hypotheses

The following hypotheses concerning the model are made: ($\beta_1 > 0$; $\beta_2 > 0$; $\beta_3 > 0$; $\beta_4 > 0$). These hypotheses about the model's coefficients reflect the hypotheses the study is designed to investigate and which were stated in a previous section.

Data Collection and Instrumentation

In order to estimate the model and use the estimated results to test all the hypotheses postulated above, concerted efforts were made by the researcher with the help of mathematics instructors to collect survey data about students' motivation to succeed in college mathematics and mathematical self-concept at various post-secondary institutions in Alberta.

The students who responded completed two types of 40-item instrument compiled from various sources and supplemented with items designed by the researcher (Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire, Pintrich, Smith, Garcia, & McKeachie, 1991) and Intrinsic Motivation Inventory (IMI, Ryan, 1982; Ryan, Mims, & Koestner, 1983; Plant & Ryan, 1985; Ryan, Connell & Plant, 1990; Ryan, Koestner, & Deci, 1991; Deci et al, 1994). For the students motivation construct, only 24 items adequately measuring the following

motivational subscales were included: interest and enjoyment; perceived probability of success; relevance/usefulness of mathematics; and extrinsic motivational factor (expectation of future income).

All the items used a 5-point Likert-type format. The Likert scales were anchored with the following statements: “strongly disagree (1)” to “strongly agree (5)”. The items on the instrument were a combination of previously validated items and new ones designed purposely for this research project. The distribution of the items by the motivational subscales is shown in Table 1 below.

There are 16 items on the mathematical self-concept construct (Table 2) which were compiled from previously validated scales such as the Self Description Questionnaire (SDQ; Marsh & O’Neil, 1984) which is considered one of the best self-concept instruments available (Byrne, 1996; Marsh, 1990, 1993; Marsh & Craven, 1997); Attitude Toward Math Inventory (ATMI, Fennema & Sherman, 1976). The ATMI is known to possess sound psychometric properties and has been used in research over the last several decades (Tsao, 2004).

Table 1: Distribution of Items on Motivational Subscales

| Subscale | Items Distribution | |
|---|--------------------|-------|
| | # | % |
| Essential/Relevance of Mathematics | 7 | 29.2 |
| Students' Perception of Mathematics | 10 | 41.7 |
| Interest and Enjoyment of Mathematics | 6 | 25.0 |
| Expectation of Future Income (Extrinsic Factor) | 1 | 4.2 |
| Total | 24 | 100.0 |

Table 2: Distribution of Items on Mathematics Self-Concepts

| Subscale | Items Distribution | |
|----------|--------------------|-----|
| | # | % |
| MSC | 16 | 100 |

Subscale Reliabilities and Items' Descriptive Statistics

Although the items on the instrument were previously validated items and had been used in several research projects before as mentioned above, attempts were made to determine whether the motivation subscales items loaded onto separate factors as previous results had shown. Maximum Likelihood factor analysis with a Varimax (orthogonal) rotation was performed. Factor analysis is a method used to examine interrelationships among a number of variables with minimal loss of information. Six factors with Eigen values greater than one emerged. As expected, the four motivational subscale items loaded onto their own factor with loadings of 0.45 or greater. In addition, all of the self-concept items loaded on two factors with loadings of 0.45 or greater. The factor analysis was done for confirmatory purposes for the current research since the items were compiled from related actual subscales.

To determine subscale internal consistencies and reliabilities, the Cronbach alpha reliability coefficients were computed for both the motivation and mathematical self-concept subscales. These are shown in Table 3 and Table 4. As can be seen in Table 4, coefficients for the motivation subscales of Essential & Relevance, Perception, and Interest& Enjoyment subscales ranged from 0.65 to 0.85, all indicating acceptable internal consistencies and reliabilities for the item scores. As can also be seen in Table 5, coefficients

for the mathematical self-concept ranged from 0.58 to 0.79, all indicating acceptable internal consistencies and reliabilities of the item score.

Measures of Students' Motivation and Mathematical Self-Concept

For analytical purposes, the mathematical self-concept was defined as a composite variable represented by the mean scores of students' responses on the 1-5, 16 items scale. Examples of this measurement of students' mathematical self-concept abound in the empirical literature (Chouinard, et al, 2007).

Students' motivation to succeed in mathematics was operationally defined as a composite measure represented by the mean scores of students' responses to the 1-5, 24 items scale that adequately covered the four subscales of students' motivation to succeed in mathematics: essential & relevance of mathematics; perception of mathematics; interest & enjoyment of mathematics; and expectation of future reward (extrinsic motivation). The decision to combine the subscales (relevance & significance of math; perception of math; interest & enjoyment of math) into a single composite measure of students' motivation was based on their positive inter-correlations ($r_{sp}=0.2944$; $r_{si}=0.3448$; $r_{ip}=0.2000$) and the fact that prior factor analyses have shown that they have high loadings on the same factor (Jackson, 1984).

Examples of this measurement of students' motivation abound in the research literature (Ryan, 1982; Harrackiewicz, 1979; Harter, 1981; Ferrer-Caja & Weiss, 2000; Pintrich & DeGroot, 1990; Schiefele & Csikszentmihalyi, 1995; Stevens et al, 2004).

Table 3: Cronbach α Reliabilities for the Students' Motivation Subscales

| Motivational Subscales | Test Scale | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Average Inter-Item Covariance | Alpha (α) |
| Essential and Relevance of Math | 0.2186597 | 0.6477 |
| Perception of Math | 0.1483270 | 0.6453 |
| Interest and Enjoyment | 0.6806938 | 0.8530 |
| Total: Students' Motivation | 0.1553384 | 0.7909 |

Table 4: Cronbach α Reliabilities for the Mathematical Self-Concept

| Mathematical Self-Concept Subscales | Test Scale | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Average Inter-Item Covariance | Alpha (α) |
| MSC | 0.2182583 | 0.7911 |

ANALYSIS OF FINDINGS

Introduction

This section presents analyses of the results from the estimated models and other statistical analyses conducted to investigate the research questions. The section first presents the descriptive statistics of the variables used to estimate the analytical models and then performs diagnostic checks on the model's specification with respect to any violation of the classical linear regression assumptions. These are followed by analyses of the inter-correlations between the variables. Last but by no means the least the section presents a comprehensive analysis of the regression models to investigate the functional relationship between the dependant variable and the independent variables in the model. The findings of previous empirical work are cited to support the findings in this study.

Diagnostic Checking (Evaluating the Assumptions of the Model)

The estimated model was subjected to diagnostic checking to determine any model misspecification errors that might undermine the interpretation of the estimated results as well as use of the results to make inferences.

The underlying assumptions of the specified model are the assumptions of the classical linear regression model: independence and normally distributed residuals, homoscedastic and serially uncorrelated: $\epsilon_t \sim N [0, \sigma^2]$. The diagnostic tests therefore included the normality test of the residuals, the Breusch-Pagan / Cook-Weisberg test for heteroscedasticity, and the variance inflation factor (VIF) for multicollinearity. The overall diagnostic tests did not result in any strong evidence of model misspecification. It can therefore be safely assumed that the model was correctly specified and the estimated parameters are sound and can be used for inferential purposes. However, the results, analysis and conclusions must be interpreted with caution as we might not have been able to foresee or uncover all the model's misspecification errors. In addition, there are solid guarantees for validity of the data used.

Relationship between Student Motivation and Math Self-Concept

The major focus of this research was to test the hypothesis that mathematics self-concept is a significant predictor of students' motivation to succeed in first year college mathematics. To test the hypothesis, the proposed regression model for the entire sample. The results are presented in Table 5. As shown in Table 4, mathematics self-concept (MSC) was a positive and statistically significant predictor of students' motivation (SMOT) to succeed in first-year college mathematics ($\beta=0.49693$, $t=16.83$, $p<0.001$), accounting for 41.2% of the variance in students' motivation ($F(1, 405)=283.220$, $p<0.001$; $RMSE=0.301$). The adjusted $R^2(41.0\%)$ indicates that only 0.2% of the variance explained was due to chance.

The correlation coefficient ($R=0.642$) indicates that students' motivation was very strongly correlated with mathematics self-concept. The standardized beta coefficient (standardized- $\beta=0.64151$) indicates that the effect of mathematics self-concept on students' motivation to succeed in mathematics was very strong. This result is consistent with Shavelson et al (1976) and Nwangi & Githua (2003) who noted that self-concept is important as both an outcome and as a mediating variable that helps to explain other outcomes. In this case, mathematics self-concept is helping to explain the variance in students' motivation to succeed in first-year college mathematics. Similarly, empirical research has shown that mathematics achievement is substantially correlated with math self-concept (Marsh, Byrne, & Shavelson, 1988; Bandura, 1986; Skaalvik and Rankin (1995). To explore gender differences in terms of the effect of MSC on SMOT, the model was estimated for male students, first holding female constant and, secondly, holding male students constant. The results are shown in Table 6 (Male) and 7 (Female). As can be seen in the Tables, both equations performed very well, although the female $R^2(0.43)$ was slightly greater than the male $R^2(0.39)$. In addition, the effect of mathematics self-concept on students' motivation was stronger for females (standardized- $b=0.65462$) than males (standardized- $b=0.62443$), indicating slight gender differences in terms of the effect of MSC on SMOT.

Table 5: Relationship between Student Motivation and Mathematics Self-Concept (T)

| Variables | Estimated Coefficient | Standard Error | t-Value | Sign $p> t $ | Standard B |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------|--------------|------------|
| Constant | 1.815* | 0.096 | 18.80 | 0.000 | |
| Math Self-Concept (All) | 0.497* | 0.029 | 16.83 | 0.000 | 0.642 |
| | | | | | |
| R-square | 0.412 | | | | |
| Adjusted R-square | 0.410 | | | | |

| | |
|---|-------------------------|
| Correlation R | 0.642 |
| Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) | 0.301 |
| F (1, 405) | 283.220 $p > F = 0.000$ |
| * Significant at $p < 0.01$; **Significant at $p < 0.05$ | |

Table 6: Relationship between Student Motivation and Mathematics Self-Concept (M)

| Variables | Estimated Coefficient | Standard Error | t-Value | Sign $p > t $ | Standard B |
|---|-------------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|------------|
| Constant | 1.827* | 0.135 | 13.57 | 0.000 | |
| Math Self-Concept (M) | 0.495* | 0.041 | 12.15 | 0.000 | 0.624 |
| R-square | 0.390 | | | | |
| Adjusted R-square | 0.387 | | | | |
| Correlation R | 0.624 | | | | |
| Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) | 0.293 | | | | |
| F (1, 231) | 147.640 $p > F = 0.000$ | | | | |
| * Significant at $p < 0.01$; **Significant at $p < 0.05$ | | | | | |

Table 7: Relationship between Student Motivation and Mathematics Self-Concept (F)

| Variables | Estimated Coefficient | Standard Error | t-Value | Sign $p > t $ | Standard B |
|---|------------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|------------|
| Constant | 1.809* | 0.141 | 12.86 | 0.000 | |
| Math Self-Concept (F) | 0.496* | 0.044 | 11.36 | 0.000 | 0.655 |
| R-square | 0.428 | | | | |
| Adjusted R-square | 0.425 | | | | |
| Correlation R | 0.654 | | | | |
| Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) | 0.313 | | | | |
| F (1, 172) | 128.97 $p > F = 0.000$ | | | | |
| * Significant at $p < 0.01$; **Significant at $p < 0.05$ | | | | | |

Relationship between SMOT & MSC, Demographic and Extrinsic Factors

Two of the research questions were: Is there a significant relationship between students' motivation to succeed in first year college mathematics and students' demographic characteristics such as age, gender, and the number of mathematics courses taken? Is there a significant relationship between student motivation to succeed in first year college mathematics and expectation of future income?

To answer these questions or test the hypotheses, a comprehensive model was proposed above which regressed students' motivation on MSC, expectation of future income, age, and number of mathematics taken. This model was first estimated for the whole sample, then for male students controlling the female students, and then for female students controlling male students. The estimated results are presented in Tables 8-10 below.

As suggested above, several goodness of fit indices were used to evaluate this comprehensive model (Table 8). The model provided a strong fit to the data, as measured by the $R^2 = 0.468$, adjusted- $R^2 = 0.463$, the very low RMSE=0.287, and the large F-value (88.33, $p > F = 0.000$, significant far beyond 0.001, that is $p < 0.001$). The estimated R (0.684) indicates that the dependent variable, SMOT, is very strongly correlated with the independent variables as a whole. The R^2 value (0.468) implies that the model explained 46.8% of the variance in students' motivation to succeed in first-year university mathematics.

In addition, the model diagnostic tests did not indicate serious misspecification errors. All the model's effects (β 's) were statistically significant at 0.01 (or 0.05, 0.10) levels as

indicated by their t-values and p-values (probability of significance) and are consistent with a priori expectations. This indicates that MSC ($\beta=0.446$, $p<0.05$), future income (extrinsic factor, $\beta=0.085$, $p<0.05$), age ($\beta=0.008$, $p<0.05$) and number of mathematics taken (math experience, $\beta=0.016$, $p<0.10$) are all significant predictors of students' motivation to succeed in first-year college mathematics.

It was posited above that the standardized beta coefficient (b) represents the estimated average change in standard deviation units and indicates the strength of the effect of each independent variable on the dependent variable (Baum, 2006). Based on this, it can be said that the MSC ($b=0.576$) has the strongest predictive effect on students' motivation to succeed in first year college mathematics, followed by expectation of future income ($b=0.222$).

To explore gender difference, the model was estimated separately for male and female students. The results are shown respectively in Tables 9 and 10 below.

On the whole, both equations fitted the data very well as the full sample model. For male students, MSC ($\beta=0.448$, $p<0.05$), expectation of future income ($\beta=0.075$, $p<0.05$), and age ($\beta=0.012$, $p<0.05$) were statistically significant predictors of male students' motivation to succeed in first-year college mathematics. However, number of mathematics measuring math experience ($\beta=0.006$, $p>0.05$) was not a statistically significant predictor of male students' motivation to succeed in first year college mathematics, implying that for male students in this sample, math experience did not matter.

For female students (Table 10), MSC ($\beta=0.439$, $p<0.05$), expectation of future income ($\beta=0.098$, $p<0.05$), and math experience (number of math courses taken, $\beta=0.039$, $p<0.05$) were statistically significant predictors of female students' motivation to succeed in first-year college mathematics. However, age ($\beta=0.005$, $p>0.05$) was not a statistically significant predictor of female students' motivation to succeed in first-year college mathematics, implying that for female students in this sample, age did not matter.

In addition, the results indicate that the strongest predictor of male students' motivation while holding females constant was MSC (standardized $b=0.564$), and the strongest predictor of female students' motivation while holding male students constant was also MSC (standardized $b=0.579$). Another important finding is that expectation of future income (extrinsic motivation, standardized $b=0.240$) was a stronger predictor of female students' motivation than male students' motivation (standardized $b=0.206$). And among the three estimated equations, the female equation performed better than the male and the whole sample equations, with highest R^2 (0.502) and R (0.709). These gender differences are significant findings and are discussed further below.

Table 8: Relationship between SMOT, MSC, Demographic & Extrinsic Factors (T)

| Variables | Estimated Coefficient | Standard Error | t-Value | Sign $p> t $ | Standard B |
|---|-----------------------|----------------|---------|--------------|------------|
| Constant | 1.471* | 1.269 | 11.59 | 0.000 | |
| MSC | 0.446* | 0.029 | 15.14 | 0.000 | 0.576 |
| Future Income (Ext M) | 0.085* | 0.014 | 5.84 | 0.000 | 0.222 |
| Age | 0.008** | 0.004 | 2.00 | 0.047 | 0.073 |
| # of Math Courses | 0.016 | 0.010 | 1.62 | 0.106 | 0.059 |
| | | | | | |
| R-square | 0.468 | | | | |
| Adjusted R-square | 0.463 | | | | |
| Correlation R | 0.684 | | | | |
| Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) | 0.287 | | | | |
| F (4, 402) | 88.33 | $p>F = 0.000$ | | | |
| * Significant at $p<0.01$; **Significant at $p<0.05$ | | | | | |

Table 9: Relationship between SMOT, MSC, Demographic & Extrinsic Factors (M)

| Variables | Estimated Coefficient | Standard Error | t-Value | Sign p> t | Standard B |
|--|-----------------------|----------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Constant | 1.444* | 0.175 | 8.24 | 0.000 | |
| MSC | 0.448* | 0.041 | 10.92 | 0.000 | 0.564 |
| Future Income (Ext M) | 0.075* | 0.019 | 4.00 | 0.000 | 0.206 |
| Age | 0.012** | 0.006 | 2.01 | 0.046 | 0.101 |
| # of Math Courses | 0.006 | 0.012 | 0.52 | 0.606 | 0.026 |
| | | | | | |
| R-square | 0.440 | | | | |
| Adjusted R-square | 0.430 | | | | |
| Correlation R | 0.663 | | | | |
| Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) | 0.282 | | | | |
| F (4, 228) | 44.77 | p>F = 0.000 | | | |
| * Significant at p<0.01; **Significant at p<0.05 | | | | | |

Table 10: Relationship between Motivation, MSC, Demographic & Extrinsic Factors (F)

| Variables | Estimated Coefficient | Standard Error | t-Value | Sign p> t | Standard b |
|--|-----------------------|----------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Constant | 1.479* | 0.187 | 7.91 | 0.000 | |
| MSC | 0.439* | 0.043 | 10.22 | 0.000 | 0.579 |
| Future Income (Ext M) | 0.098* | 0.023 | 4.22 | 0.000 | 0.240 |
| Age | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.89 | 0.374 | 0.048 |
| # of Math Courses | 0.039** | 0.019 | 2.04 | 0.042 | 0.111 |
| | | | | | |
| R-square | 0.502 | | | | |
| Adjusted R-square | 0.490 | | | | |
| Correlation R | 0.709 | | | | |
| Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) | 0.295 | | | | |
| F (4, 169) | 42.55 | p>F = 0.000 | | | |
| * Significant at p<0.01; **Significant at p<0.05 | | | | | |

Variable Inter-Correlations

The regression analyses above afforded an opportunity to examine the relationship between the dependent variable (students’ motivation) and the independent variables in the sample, as well as how the independent variables added to the explanation of variance in the dependent variable. One of the research questions was: are there significant correlations between student mathematics self-concept and student age, gender, and the number of mathematics courses taken, as well as the SMOT and MSC subscales? This section uses the Pearson Product Moment Correlations to examine the simple correlations among the variables in this study in order to illuminate how the independent variables interact to predict the dependent variable. The computed correlations for the whole sample are shown in Table 11 below.

As shown in Table 11, there were modest but significantly positive correlations among the students’ motivation subscales: significance/usefulness & perception of math ($r=0.2591$, $p<0.001$); significance/usefulness & interest/enjoyment ($r=0.2117$, $p<0.001$), indicating that these three subscales reinforce one another in their determination of the overall students’ motivation to succeed in first-year college mathematics. Appreciating the

significance/usefulness of math is probably helpful in one's favourable perception of math, as well as enhancing the interest/enjoyment one derives from math.

There was a significant and positive correlation between two intrinsic motivation subscales and the extrinsic motivation subscale (expectation of future income): significance/usefulness & expectation of future income ($r=0.4161$, $p<0.001$), and interest/enjoyment of math & expectation of future income ($r=0.2810$, $p<0.001$), implying that extrinsic motivation is a potential predictor of significance/usefulness of math and interest/enjoyment in math. Expectation of future income was not significantly correlated with perception of math, implying no relationship between extrinsic motivation and this motivation subscale. The perception of math subscale as defined in this study was somewhat vague and failed to elicit the appropriate responses from the students in the sample such as would correlate with the expectation of future income. On the other hand, the modest but statistically significant correlations between subscales significance/usefulness of math and interest/enjoyment of math & extrinsic motivation subscale indicate that extrinsic motivation enhances and reinforces the effectiveness of these subscales to determine the overall students' motivation to succeed in first-year college mathematics. Students who understand the significance/usefulness of mathematics and derive interest/enjoyment in pursuing it would be more interested in the expectation that their success would put them in excellent career paths with promising prospects, including income.

An important finding of this study is the very strong and statistically significant correlation between MSC and students' motivation ($r=0.6415$, $p<0.001$), indicating that students' motivation to succeed in first-year college mathematics is very strongly related to their mathematical self-concept (MSC).

To explore the possibility that different patterns of intercorrelation might exist for males and females, separate correlation matrices were computed and tested for significant differences between the strength of the correlations found for males and females (Ferguson, 1971). These matrices are shown in Tables 12 & 13 respectively for males and females. Overall the patterns of intercorrelation seemed to be quite similar for males and females, with none of the differences being statistically significant at the 0.001 level.

However, there were a couple of minor gender differences which have already been highlighted in the regression analysis. For example, among male students, there was a statistically significant correlation between age and math experience ($r=0.1603$, $p<0.05$) whereas among female students there was no significant correlation between age and math experience ($r=0.0013$, $p>0.05$). Among male students, age significantly correlated with significance/usefulness of math ($r=0.1633$, $p<0.05$) and perception of mathematics ($r=0.1797$, $p<0.01$), whereas among female students, age was only significantly correlated with perception of math ($r=0.1889$, $p<0.05$). Another important gender difference is that among male students, age was slightly significantly correlated with students' motivation ($r=0.1216$, $p<0.06$) whereas among female students, age was not significantly correlated with students' motivation ($r=0.0490$, $p>0.05$), implying that age is significant to male students' motivation, whereas age is not significant to female students' motivation, to succeed in first-year college mathematics. On other hand, math experience did not significantly correlate ($r=0.0185$, $p>0.01$) with male students' motivation to succeed in first-year college mathematics, whereas math experience significantly correlated ($r=0.1809$, $p<0.01$) with female students' motivation to succeed in first-year college mathematics. This implies that math experience is significant among female students whereas math experience is not significant among male students in the sample, although recent research shows that previous mathematics experience is an important factor irrespective of gender (Wheeler & Montgomery, 2009).

Table 11 Inter-Correlations of Students' Motivation Subscales, MSC & Demographics

| | SMOT | Usefulness Of Math | Perception Of Math | Interest & Enjoyment | MSC | Future Income | Age | No. Math Taken |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| SMOT | 1.0000 | | | | | | | |
| Use of Math | 0.6981* 0.0000 | 1.0000 | | | | | | |
| Per of Math | 0.5943* 0.0000 | 0.2591* 0.0000 | 1.0000 | | | | | |
| Int. & Enjoy | 0.7325* 0.0000 | 0.2117* 0.0000 | 0.1106* 0.0256 | 1.0000 | | | | |
| MSC | 0.6415* 0.0000 | 0.3530* 0.0000 | 0.1977* 0.0001 | 0.6726* 0.0000 | 1.0000 | | | |
| Future Income | 0.3925* 0.0000 | 0.4161* 0.000 | 0.0792 0.1107 | 0.2810* 0.0000 | 0.2895* 0.0000 | 1.0000 | | |
| Age | 0.0862 0.0826 | 0.0890 0.0729 | 0.1814* 0.0002 | -0.0501 0.3133 | 0.0082 0.8692 | 0.0123 0.8042 | 1.0000 | |
| No. of Math | 0.0846 0.0883 | 0.0780 0.1163 | 0.0601 0.2265 | 0.0401 0.4197 | 0.0152 0.7601 | 0.0431 0.3859 | 0.0969 0.0507 | 1.0000 |

Table 12: Inter-Correlations of Students' Motivation Subscales, MSC & Demographics (Male)

| | SMOT | Usefulness Of Math | Perception Of Math | Interest & Enjoyment | MSC | Future Income | Age | No. Math Taken |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| SMOT | 1.0000 | | | | | | | |
| Use of Math | 0.6684* 0.0000 | 1.0000 | | | | | | |
| Per of Math | 0.6221* 0.0000 | 0.2717* 0.0000 | 1.0000 | | | | | |
| Int. & Enjoy | 0.6654* 0.0000 | 0.0891 0.1754 | 0.0722 0.2726 | 1.0000 | | | | |
| MSC | 0.6244* 0.0000 | 0.3212* 0.0000 | 0.2098* 0.0013 | 0.6313* 0.0000 | 1.0000 | | | |
| Future Income | 0.3621* 0.0000 | 0.4029* 0.0000 | 0.0727 0.2689 | 0.2210* 0.0007 | 0.2789* 0.0000 | 1.0000 | | |
| Age | 0.1216 0.0638 | 0.1633* 0.0126 | 0.1797* 0.0060 | -0.0665 0.3118 | 0.0344 0.6017 | -0.0141 0.8306 | 1.0000 | |
| No. of Math | 0.0185 0.7793 | 0.0343 0.6029 | 0.0403 0.5401 | -0.0279 0.6714 | -0.0377 0.5668 | -0.0117 0.8592 | 0.1603* 0.0143 | 1.0000 |

Table 13: Inter-Correlations of Students' Motivation Subscales, MSC & Demographics (Female)

| | SMOT | Usefulness Of Math | Perception Of Math | Interest & Enjoyment | MSC | Future Income | Age | No. Math Taken |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| SMOT | 1.0000 | | | | | | | |
| Use of Math | 0.7357* 0.0000 | 1.0000 | | | | | | |
| Per of Math | 0.5992* 0.0000 | 0.2944* 0.0001 | 1.0000 | | | | | |
| Int. & Enjoy | 0.8029* 0.0000 | 0.3449* 0.0000 | 0.1949* 0.0099 | 1.0000 | | | | |
| MSC | 0.6546* 0.0000 | 0.3769* 0.0000 | 0.2153* 0.0000 | 0.7107* 0.0000 | 1.0000 | | | |
| Future Income | 0.4163* 0.0000 | 0.4001* 0.0000 | 0.1440 0.0579 | 0.3303* 0.0000 | 0.2811* 0.0002 | 1.0000 | | |
| Age | 0.0490 0.5212 | 0.0002 0.9975 | 0.1889* 0.0126 | -0.0324 0.6714 | -0.0182 0.8116 | 0.0453 0.5525 | 1.0000 | |
| No. of Math | 0.1809* 0.0169 | 0.1271 0.0948 | 0.1373 0.0708 | 0.1319 0.0828 | 0.0774 0.3100 | 0.1010 0.1847 | 0.0013 0.9866 | 1.0000 |

Definition of Acronyms used:

SMOT=Students' Motivation

AMSC=Affective Mathematics Self-Concept

CMSC=Cognitive Mathematics Self-Concept

TMSC=Total Mathematics Self-Concept

#Math=Number of mathematics taken

Conclusions, Limitations and Recommendations

This study has shown that mathematics self-concept is a significant predictor of students' motivation to succeed in first-year college mathematics. It has also shown that motivation to achieve in mathematics is not solely a product of mathematical self-concept variables such as mathematics ability, competence, efforts, and self-confidence, nor is it so stable that intervention programs cannot be designed to improve it. Instead the motivation to achieve in mathematics is highly influenced by demographic factors such as age, gender, and mathematics experience.

One of the limitations of the study pertains to the fact that it was limited in its coverage since the institutions are based in the province of Alberta, making the results of the study not generalizable to students across the country. Replications based on more representation samples across Canada are needed to provide broader perspectives in order to make the findings generalizable.

The results of the study support the conceptual relationship between mathematics self-concept and students' motivation to achieve in mathematics. Further studies could attempt to replicate these results with students from other provinces in Canada and at different educational levels. Finally, the next logical step would be to evaluate the predictive utility of the mathematical self-concept and students' motivation by adding academic achievement measures in the research investigation.

Future research may also investigate more closely the differential impacts of significant others on students' motivation and mathematics achievement. For example, on the basis of this study, it is reasonable to hypothesize that significant others (parents, teachers, peers) may substantially affect students' motivation to achieve in mathematics through the sorts of facilitating conditions identified in the qualitative data. The Parent, Teacher, and Peer scales in the Facilitating Conditions Questionnaire (FCQ, McNerney, Dowson, & Yeung, 2005) may allow researchers to identify which sources of influence from significant others may most influence students' motivation and mathematical self-concept.

The extrinsic motivation measure used in the study was based on a single item. Although the study has provided some evidence for its validity, it seems desirable for future studies to include a more differentiated and reliable measure that tries to capture a student's perceived value of mathematics achievement and the motivation to succeed.

The study has shown that ability perceptions have impact on students' motivation to succeed in mathematics through its mediating influence on mathematical self-concept. Meece and her colleagues (1990) have also shown that ability perceptions have a strong impact on value perceptions (such as interest). Therefore, future research should include not only test-based indicators of ability but also measures of perceived competence or ability. In fact, separating mathematical self-concepts into its varied perceived elements will enable exploration of correlational analysis between them and the individual motivational elements.

In summary, it is believed that future efforts of this type may profit from an even broader purview that included systematic collection of self-report data on the motivational thinking of both the instructors and the students; broader and more fundamental assessment of the target students' educational needs, which would include attention to both the value and expectancy aspects of students' motivation to achieve in mathematics.

On the whole the findings provide valid empirical evidence for the importance of considering mathematics self-concept in models of students' motivation to succeed in mathematics. Students' motivation to succeed in mathematics is closely related to students' self-perceptions of their ability and competence to succeed in mathematics. At the same time, demographic factors, extrinsic motivational factors, as well as home and college environmental factors are implicated in students' motivation to engage with the educational process and to succeed in first-year college mathematics. Although extensive research effort has been invested in disclosing the nature of relationship between students' motivation and their mathematics achievement, self-concept influences on students' motivation, which is presumably responsible for different levels

of motivation to learn and succeed have been ignored. Herein lay the modest contribution that this study makes to the motivation and self-concept literature. Research on students' motivation will surely benefit from the contributions made by this study.

REFERENCES

- Acker, S., & Oatley, K. (1993) Gender issues in Education for Science and Technology: Current Situation and Prospects. *Canadian Journal of Education*, 18(3), 255-272
- Aiken, L.R. (1974). Two scale of attitude toward mathematics. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 5, 67-71.
- Ames, C. (1992). Classrooms: Goals, structures, and student motivation. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 84, 261-271.
- Ames, C. & Archer, J. (1988) Achievement goals in the classroom: Students' learning strategies and motivation processes. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 80, 260-267.
- Ames, R. & Ames, C. (1984) Eds. *Research on motivation in education: student motivation* (vol.1&2), Orlando, FL Academic Press
- Anthony, G. (2000): Factors influencing first year students' success in mathematics. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science & Technology* 31(1) 3-14.
- Armstrong, W. B. (2000). The association among students success in courses, placement Test Scores, student background data and instructor grading practices. *Community College Journal of Research and Practice* 24(8), 681-695.
- Artelt, C. (2005). Cross-cultural approaches to measuring Motivation; *Educational Assessment* 10(3), 231-255.
- Astin, H. S.(1968) Career development of girls during the high school years. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 15, 536-540
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological Review*, 84, 191-215.
- Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Bandura, A. (1995). Exercise of personal and collective efficacy in changing societies. In Bandura (Ed): *Self-efficacy in changing societies* (pp. 1-45). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press
- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. New York: W. H. Freeman.
- Baum, C. F. (2006). *An Introduction to Modern Econometrics Using Stata*, Stata Press, Stata Corporation; Texas.
- Berry, L. (2003). Bridging the gap: A community college and area high schools collaborate To improve student success in college. *Community College Journal of Research & Practice* 27(5), 393-408
- Bong, M. (1996) Problems in Academic Motivation Research and Advantages and Disadvantages of their Solutions, *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 29: 149-165
- Bong, M. & Skaalvik, E. M. (2003) Academic Self-Concept and Self-Efficacy: How Different are They Really? *Educational Psychology Review* Vol. 15 (1) pp. 1-30
- Brewer, E. W., & Burgess, D. N. (2005) "Professor's role in motivating students to attend class" *Journal of Industrial Teacher Education*, 42(3); 24
- Brophy, J. E. (1983): Conceptualizing student motivation. *Educational Psychologist* 18, 200-215
- Brophy, J. E. (1985) Teachers' expectations, motives, and goals for working with problem students. In R. Ames & C. Ames (eds.) *Research on motivation in education volume 2: student motivation*. Orlando, FL academic Press
- Brophy, J. E. (1991): I Know I Can Do This, But Where Is My Motivation? *American Journal of Community Psychology* 19 (3)
- Brophy, J. E. (2004): *Motivating students to learn* (2nd Ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Brophy, J. E. (1999). Toward a model of the value aspects of motivation in education: Developing appreciation for particular learning domains and activities. *Educational Psychologist*, 34, 75-85.
- Brophy, J. E. (1986). Teaching and learning mathematics: Where research should be going. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 17, 323-346

- Brophy, J. E., & Good, T. L. (1974). *Teacher-student relationships: Causes and consequences*. New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.
- Brown, B. B. (1990). Peer groups and peer culture. In S. S. Feldman and G. R. Elliott (Eds.), *At the threshold: The developing adolescent* (pp. 171-196). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press
- Brown, B. B. (2004). Adolescents' relationships with peers. In R. M. Lerner, & L. D Steinberg (Eds.), *Handbook of adolescent psychology* (2nd Ed., pp. 363-394). New York: Wiley
- Byrnes, I. (2003) Factors predictive of mathematics achievement in Black, White, an Hispanic 12th Graders. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 95(2), 316-326
- Burden, P. R. (1995) *Classroom Management and Discipline: Methods to facilitate cooperation and instruction*. Longman Publishers, New York NY. Pp. 145-171
- Carmichael, C & Taylor, J. A. (2005). Analysis of student beliefs in a tertiary preparatory Mathematics course. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science & Technology* 36(7), 713-719.
- Carnegie Commission on Higher Education (1973): *Opportunities for women in higher education*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Chouinard, R., Thierry K., & Normand R. (2007) Relations among Competence Beliefs, Utility Value, Achievement Goals, and Effort in Mathematics. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 77, 501-17.
- Connell, J. P., & Wellborn, J. G. (1991). Competence, autonomy, and relatedness: A motivational analysis of self-system processes. In R. Gunnar & L. A. Sroufe (Eds.): *Minnesota symposia on child psychology*, Vol. 23, pp. 43-77. Hillsale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates
- Corbiere, M, Fraccaroli, F, Mbekou, V. & Perron, J. (2006) Academic self-concept and academic interest measurement: A multi-sample European study. *European Journal of Psychology of Education* 21(1), 3-15.
- Covington, M. V. (1992). *Making the grade: A self-worth perspective on motivation and School reform*. New York: Cambridge University Press
- Covington, M. V., & Dray, E. (2002) The developmental course of achievement motivation: A need-based approach. In A. Wigfield & J. S. Eccles (Eds.): *Development of achievement motivation*. p. 33-56. San Diego: Academic Press
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1988a) The flow experience and its significance for human psychology. In M. Csikszentmihalyi & I. S. Csikszentmihalyi (Eds.), *Optimal experience* (pp. 15-35). Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1988b). Motivation and creativity: Towards a synthesis of structural and energistic approaches to cognition. *New Ideas in Psychology*, 6, 159-176.
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. & Massimini, F. (1985). On the psychological selection of bio-cultural information. *New Ideas in Psychology*, 3, 15-38.
- Csikszentmihalyi, M, Rathunde, K., & Whalen, S, (1993) *Talented teenagers: The roots of success and failure*. New York: Cambridge University Press
- Deci, E.L, Koestner, R, & Ryan, R.M. (2001): Extrinsic rewards and intrinsic motivation in Education: Reconsidered once again. *Review of Educational Research*, 71(1), 1-27.
- Deci, E. L., Koestner, R., & Ryan, R. M. (1999) A meta-analytic review of experiments examining the effects of extrinsic motivation on intrinsic rewards. *Psychological Bulletin*, 125, 627-668
- Deci, E. L. (1992). The relation of interest to the motivation of behavior: A self-determination theory perspective. In K. A. Renninger, S. Hidi, & A. Krapp (Eds.): *The role of interest in learning and development* (pp. 43-70). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlba
- Deci, E. L. (1998). The relation to interest to motivation and human needs: The self-determination theory viewpoint. In L. Hoffman, A. Krapp, K. A. Renninger, & J. Baumert (Eds.), *Interest and learning* (pp. 146-162). Kiel, Germany: IPN Press
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior*. New York: Plenum Press.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2002a). Self-determination research: Reflections and future directions. In E. L. Deci & R. M. Ryan (Eds.), *Handbook of self-determination theory research* (pp. 431-441). Rochester, NY: University of Rochester Press

- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2002b). The paradox of achievement: The harder you push, the worse it gets. In J. Aronson (Ed.), *Improving academic achievement: Impact of psychological factors on education* (pp. 61-87). San Diego: Academic Press.
- Deci, E. L., Vallerand, R. J., Pelletier, L. C., & Ryan, R. M. (1991). Motivation and education: The self-determination perspective. *Educational Psychologist*, 26, 325-346
- Deci, E. L., Eghrari, H., Patrick, B. C., & Leone, D. (1994) Facilitating internalization: The self-determination theory perspective. *Journal of Personality*, 62, 119-142
- Didia, D. & Hasnat, B. (1998): "The Determinants of Performance in the University Introductory Finance Course" *Financial Practice and Education* 8 (1): pp.102-107
- Drolet, Marie. 2001. The male-female wage gap.. *Perspectives on Labour and Income* (Statistics Canada, Catalogue no. 75-001-XIE). December 2001 online edition.
- Dudas, J. L., & Nicholls, J. G. (1992) Dimensions of achievement motivation in schoolwork and sport. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 84, 290-299
- Dweck, C. S. (1975). The role of expectations and attributions in the alleviation of learned helplessness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 31, 674-685.
- Dweck, C. S. (1986) Motivational processes affecting learning. *American Psychologist*, 41, 1040-1048
- Dweck, C. S. (2002). The development of ability conceptions. In A. Wigfield & J. S. Eccles (Eds.), *Development of achievement motivation* (pp. 57-88). San Diego: Academic Press.
- Dweck, C. S., & Elliott, E. S. (1983). Achievement motivation. In P. H. Mussen (Ed.), *Handbook of child psychology* (Vol. IV, 3rd. Ed., pp. 643-691). New York: Wiley.
- Dweck, C. S., & Goetz, T. E. (1978). Attributions and learned helplessness. In J. H. Harvey, W. Ickes, & R. F. Kidd (Eds.), *New directions in attribution research* (Vol. 2, pp. 155-179). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum
- Dweck, C. S., & Leggett, E. (1988). A social-cognitive approach to motivation and personality. *Psychological Review*, 95, 256-273
- Dweck, C. S., & Lennon, C. (2001, April). Person vs. process focused parenting: Impact on achievement motivation. Paper presented at the biennial meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development, Minneapolis
- Dweck, C. S., & Licht, B. G. (1980). Learned helplessness and intellectual achievement. In J. Garber & M. E. P. Seligman (Eds.), *Human helplessness: Theory and applications*. New York: Academic Press.
- Eccles, J. S. (1984). Sex differences in achievement patterns. In T. Sonderegger (Ed.), *Nebraska Symposium on Motivation* (Vol. 32, pp. 97-132). Lincoln, NE: University of Nebraska Press.
- Eccles, J. S. (1987). Gender roles and women's achievement-related decisions. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 11, 135-172.
- Eccles, J. S. (1989). Bringing young women to math and science. In M. Crawford and M. Gentry (Eds.) *Gender and thought: Psychological perspectives* (pp. 36-57). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Eccles, J. S., (1993). School and family effects on the ontogeny of children's interests, self-perceptions, and activity choice. In J. Jacobs (Ed.) *Nebraska Symposium on Motivation, 1992: Developmental perspectives on motivation*. (pp. 145- 208) Lincoln, NB: of University Nebraska Press
- Eccles, J. S. (1994). Understanding women's educational and occupational choices: Applying the Eccles et al. model of achievement-related choices. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 18, 585-609.
- Eccles, J. S. (1998). Commentary: Individual differences and the development of perceived control. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development* (Serial No. 254, Vol. 6, No.2-3, pp. 221-231)
- Eccles, J. S. (2004). Schools, academic motivation, and stage-environment fit. In R. M. Lerner & L. Steinberg (Eds.), *Handbook of adolescent psychology* (2nd ed., pp. 125-153). New York: John Wiley and sons
- Eccles, J. S., & Barber, B. L. (1999). Student council, volunteering, basketball, or marching band: What kind of extracurricular involvement matters? *Journal of Adolescent Research*, 14, 10-43.

- Eccles, J. S., Freedman-Doan, C., & Yoon, K. (2000). Gender-role socialization in the family: a longitudinal approach. In T. Eccles & H. M. Trautner (Eds.), *The developmental social psychology of gender*. Mahwah, NJ, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates 333-360.
- Eccles, J. S. & Harold, R. D. (1991) Gender differences in sport involvement: Applying the Eccles' expectancy-value model. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 3, 7-35
- Eccles, J. S. & Harold, R. D. (1992) Gender differences in educational and occupational patterns among the gifted. In N. Colangelo, S. G. Assouline, & D. L. Amronson (Eds.), *Talent Development: Proceedings from the 1991 Henry B. and Jocelyn Wallace National Research Symposium on Talent Development*. Unionville, NY: Trillium Press (pp. 3-29)
- Eccles, J. S. & Harold, R. D. (1993). Parent-school involvement during the early adolescent years. *Teachers' College Record*, 94, 568-587.
- Eccles, J. S. & Midgley, C. (1989). Stage/environment fit: Developmentally appropriate classrooms for early adolescents. In R. Ames & C. Ames (Eds.), *Research on motivation in education* (Vol. 3, pp. 139-181). New York: Academic Press
- Eccles, J. S., Midgley, C., Wigfield, A., Reuman, D., Mac Iver, D., & Feldlaufer, H. (1993) Negative effects of traditional middle-schools on students' motivation. *Elementary School Journal*, 93, 553- 574.
- Eccles, J. S., & Wigfield, A. (1985). Teacher expectations and student motivation. In J. B. Dusek (Ed.), *Teacher expectations* (pp. 185-217). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates
- Eccles, J. S., & Wigfield, A. (1995). In the mind of the achiever: The structure of adolescents' academic achievement related-beliefs and self-perceptions. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 21, 215-225
- Eccles, J. S & Wigfield, A. (2002). Motivational beliefs, values, and goals. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 53, 109-132.
- Eccles, J. S., Wigfield, A., Flanagan, C., Miller, C., Reuman, D., & Yee, D. (1989). Self-concepts, domain values, and self-esteem: Relations and changes at early adolescence. *Journal of Personality*, 57, 283-310.
- Eccles, J. S., Wigfield, A., Harold, R., & Blumenfeld, P. B. (1993). Age and gender differences in children's self- and task perceptions during elementary school. *Child Development*, 64, 830-847
- Eccles, J. S, Wigfield, A., & Schiefele, U. (1998). Motivation to succeed. In W. Damon (Series Ed.), & N. Eisenberg (Volume Ed.) *Handbook of child psychology* (5th ed., Vol. III, pp. 1017-1095). New York: Wiley
- Eccles, J., Adler, T. F., Futterman, R., Goff, S. B, Kaczala, C. M, Meece, J. L, & Midgley, C. (1983). Expectancies, values, and academic behaviors. In J. T. Spence (Ed.) *Achievement and achievement motivation* (pp.75-146) San Francisco, CA
- Elder, G. H., Eccles, J. S., Ardelit, M., & Lord, S. (1995). Inner-city parents
- Fennema, E. (1984): Girls, women and mathematics. In E. Fennema & M. J. Ayer (Eds) *Women and Education: Equity or equality?* Berkeley, CA. McCutchan Publishing
- Fennema, E, & Sherman, J. A (1978) Sex-related differences in mathematics achievement and related factors: A further study. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 9, 189-203
- Foley-Peres, K & Poirier, D. (2008) College Math Assessment: SAT vs. College Math Placement Scores. *Educational Research Quarterly* 32(2), 41-48.
- Garcia, T, & Pintrich, P. R. (1994) Regulating motivation and cognition in the classroom: The role of self-schemas and self-regulatory strategies. In D. H. Schunk and B. J. Zimmerman (Eds.), *Self-regulation of learning and performance: Issues and educational applications* (pp. 127-154). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Glanfield, F. (2003): Western Canada regional Perspective on Mathematics Education. National Forum about Mathematics Education for the Canadian Mathematical Society. Retrieved at <http://www.math.ca/Events/CSMF2003/panel/WestReport.pdf>
- Good, T., & Brophy, J. (1987). Looking in classrooms (4th ed.). New York: Harper & Row.
- Good, T. L & Brophy, J. E. (1997). *Looking in Classrooms* (7th Edition), NJ: Longman.
- Grainge, Z. (2007). Pay students to study science, says CBI. *Utility Week* 27(20), 29.

- Graham, S., & Golan, S. (1991) Motivation influences on cognition: Task involvement, ego involvement, and depth of processing. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 83(2), 187-194
- Greene, J. C. (2007): *Mixed Methods in Social Inquiry*, John Wiley & Sons/Jossey-Bass Publishing Company, San Francisco, CA.
- Grolnick, W. S, Gurland, S. T, Jacob, K. F, & Decourcey, W (2002): The development of Self-determination in middle childhood and adolescence, In A. Wigfield & J. S. Eccles(Eds.), *Development of achievement motivation* (pp. 147-171). San Diego: Academic Press
- Grolnick, W. S, & Ryan, R. M. (1987) Autonomy in children's learning: An experimental and individual difference investigation. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, 52, 890-898.
- Grolnick, W. S., & Ryan, R. M. (1989). Parent styles associated with children's self-regulation and competence in schools. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 8, 143-154.
- Grolnick, W. S., & Slowiacek, M. L. (1994). Parents' involvement in children's schooling: A multidimensional conceptualization and motivational model. *Child Development*, 65, 237-252
- Grouws, D. (1992) *Handbook of Research on Mathematics Teaching and Learning* New York: Macmillan
- Guay, F., Boivin, M., & Hodges, E.V. E. (1999). Predicting change in academic achievement: A model of peer experiences and self-system processes. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 91, 105-115.
- Guay, F., Marsh, H. W., & Boivin, M. (2003). Academic self-concept and academic achievement: Developmental perspectives on their causal ordering. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 95, 124-136.
- Gupta, S, Harris, D.E, Carrier, N. M & Caron, P. (2006) Predictors of student success in entry-level undergraduate mathematics courses. *College Student Journal* 40(1), 97-108
- Harackiewicz, J.M, Barron, K.E, & Elliot, A. J (1998) Rethinking achievement goals: When are they adaptive for college students and why? *Educational Psychologist*, 33, 1-21.
- Harackiewicz, J. M., Baron, K. E., Pintrich, P. R., Elliot, A. J., & Thrash, T. M. (2002). Revision of achievement goal theory: Necessary and illuminating. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 94, 638-645.
- Hare, B. R. (1985) Stability and change in self-perceptions and achievement among African-American adolescents: A longitudinal study. *Journal of African American Psychology*, 11, 29-42.
- Harter, S. (1981) A new self-report scale of intrinsic versus extrinsic orientation in the classroom: Motivational and informational components. *Developmental Psychology*, 17, 300-31
- Harter, S. (1982): The Perceived Competence Scale for Children. *Child Development*, 53, 87-97.
- Harter, S. (1990) Causes, correlates and the functional role of global self-worth: A life-span perspective. In J. Kolligian & R. Sternberg (Eds.), *Perceptions of competence and incompetence across the life-span* (pp. 67-98). New Haven, CT: Yale University Press
- Harter, S. (1998) The development of self-representations. In W. Damon (Series Ed.) & N. Eisenberg (Vol. Ed.), *Handbook of child psychology* (Vol. 3, 5th Ed., pp. 553-617). New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Harter, S., Whitesell, N. R., & Kowalski, P. (1992). Individual differences in the effects of educational transitions on young adolescents' perceptions of competence and motivational orientation. *American Educational Research Journal*, 29, 809-835
- Heck, A. & van Gastel, L. (2006): Mathematics on the threshold. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science & Technology* 37(8), 925-945.
- Hemke (1990) Mediating processes between children's self-concept of ability and mathematical achievement: A longitudinal study. In: Mandhl, E. D., Decorte, E., Bennet, S. N., & Friedrich, H. F. (Eds). *Learning and Instruction: European Research in an International Context. Vol. 22. Analysis of Complex Skills and Complex Knowledge Domains.*
- Pergamon Press, Oxford: pp. 537-549
- Horn, L. R. (1995): *Classroom Learning and Teaching*. Longman Publishers, White Plains, NY. Pp. 272-299

- House, J. D. & Telese, J. A. (2008): Relationships between student and instructional factors and Algebra achievement of students in the United States and Japan. *Educational Research & Evaluation* 14(1), 101-112.
- Jackson, C. D. & Leffingwell, R. J. (1999): The role of instructors in creating math anxiety in students from kindergarten through college. *Mathematics Teacher*, 92, 583-587
- Kinch, J. W. (1963) A Formalized Theory of the Self-Concept. *American Journal of Sociology*, 68(4), pp. 481-486
- Koller, O, Baumert, J, & Schnabel, K. (2001). Does interest matter? The relationship between academic interest and achievement in mathematics *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 32(5), 448-470
- Kloosterman, P. (1988). Self-confidence and motivation in mathematics *Journal of Educational Psychology* (80) 345-351
- Lent, R. W, Brown, S. D, & Gore, P. A (2007) Discriminant and predictive validity of academic self-concept, academic self-efficacy, and mathematics-specific self-efficacy. *Journal of Counselling Psychology*, 44, 307-315
- Lepper, M. R & Chabay, R. W (1985): Intrinsic motivation and instruction: Conflicting views on the role of motivational processes in computer-based education. *Educational Psychologist*, 20, 217-230
- Lepper, M. R. & Green, D. (1978). *The hidden cost of rewards: New perspectives on the psychology of human motivation*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Lepper, M. R, & Henderlong, J. (2000) Turning "play" into "work": 25 years of research on intrinsic versus extrinsic motivation. In C. Sansone & J. M. Harackiewicz (Eds.), *Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation: The search for optimal motivation and performance* (pp. 257-307). San Diego: Academic Press
- MacIver, D. (1987). Classroom factors and student characteristics predicting students' use of achievement standards during ability self-assessment. *Child Development*, 58, 1271.
- MacIver, D. J., & Epstein, J. L. (1993). Middle grades research: Not yet mature, but no longer a child. *Elementary School Journal*, 93, 519-533.
- MacIver, D. J., & Plank, J. B. (1997). Improving urban schools: Developing the talents of students placed at risk. In J. L. Irvin (Ed.), *What current research says to the middle level practitioner* (pp. 243-256). Columbus, OH: National Middle School Association
- MacIver, D. J., Reuman, D. A., & Main, S. R. (1995). Social structuring of school: Studying what is, illuminating what could be. In M. R. Rosenzweig & L. W. Porter (Eds.) *Annual review of psychology* (Vol. 46)
- MacIver, D. J., Young, E. M., & Washburn, B. (2002). Instructional practices and motivation during middle school (with special attention to science). In A. Wigfield & J. S. Eccles (Eds.) *The development of achievement motivation* (pp. 333-351). San Diego Academic Press
- MacIver, D. J., Stipek, D. J., & Daniels, D. H. (1991). Explaining within-semester changes in student effort in junior high school and senior high school courses. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 83, 201-211.
- MacNamara, D. & Penner, K. (2005): First-Year Math Students: Using Study Skills and Motivation to Predict Academic Success. Retrieved at http://www.kwantlen.bc.ca/shared/assets/Math_Retention_Study2878.pdf.
- Maehr, M. L (1984) (Meaning and motivation: Toward a theory of personal investment. In R. Ames & C. Ames (Eds) *Research on motivation in education: Student motivation* Volume 1, 115-144. San Diego, CA academic Press
- Maehr, M. L & Midgley, C (1991): Enhancing students' motivation: A school wide approach. *Educational Psychologist*, 26, 399-427
- Markus, H, & Nurius, P. P, (1986) Possible selves. *American Psychologist*, 41, 954-969
- Marsh, H. W. (1989). Age and sex effects in multiple dimensions of self-concept: Pre-adolescence to early adulthood. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 81, 417-430.
- Marsh, H. W. (1990a). A multidimensional, hierarchical self-concept: Theoretical and empirical justification. *Educational Psychology Review*, 2, 77-171

- Marsh, H. W. (1990b). The causal ordering of academic self-concept and academic achievement: A multi-wave, longitudinal analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 82
- Marsh, H. W., & Ayotte, V. (2003). Do multiple dimensions of self-concept become more differentiated with age: The differential distinctiveness hypothesis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 95, 687-706.
- Marsh, H. W., Byrne, B. M., & Shavelson, R. J. (1988) A multifaceted academic self-concept: Its hierarchical structure and its relation to academic achievements. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 80: 366-380
- Marsh, H. W., Ellis, L. A., & Craven, R. G. (2002). How do preschool children feel about themselves? Unraveling measurement and multidimensional self-concept structure. *Developmental Psychology*, 38, 376-393.
- Marsh, H. W., & Yeung, A. S. (1997) Causal effects of academic self-concept on academic achievement: Structural equation models of longitudinal data. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 89, 41-54
- McAuley, E., Duncan, T., & Tammen, V. V. (1987). Psychometric properties of the Intrinsic Motivation Inventory in a competitive sport setting: A confirmatory factor analysis. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, 60, 48-58.
- McClelland, D. C. (1965): Toward a theory of motivation acquisition. *American Psychologist*, 20, 321-333
- McInerney, D. M, Dowson, M, & Yeung, A. S. (2005) Facilitating Conditions for School Motivation: Construct Validity and Applications. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 65: 1045-1066
- McLeod, D. B. (1992). Research on Affect in Mathematics Education: A Re-conceptualization. In D. A. Grouws (Ed.). *Handbook of Research on Mathematics Teaching and Learning* (pp. 575-596). New York: Macmillan.
- McLeod, D. B., & Adams, V. M. (1989). *Affect and mathematical problem solving: A new perspective*. New York: Springer-Vela.
- Mead, G. H. (1934). *Mind, Self, and Society* University of Chicago Press, Chicago IL
- Meece, J. L. (1991). The classroom context and students' motivational goals. In M. Maehr & P. Pintrich (Eds.) *Advances in motivation and achievement* (Vol. 7, pp. 261-286) Greenwich, CT: JAI Press
- Meece, J. L. (1994). The role of motivation in self-regulated learning. In D. H. Schunk & B. J. Zimmerman (Eds) *Self-regulation of learning and performance* (pp. 25-44) Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Meece, J. L., Blumefeld, P. C., & Hoyle, R. H., (1988): Students' goal orientations and cognitive engagement in classroom activities. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 80, 514-523
- Meece, J. L. & Kurtz-Costes, B. (2001). Introduction: The schooling of ethnic minority children and youth. *Educational Psychologist*, 36, 1-7.
- Meece, J. L & Miller, S. D. (2001) A longitudinal analysis of elementary school students' achievement goals in literacy activities. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 26, 454-480.
- Meece, J. L, Wigfield, A, & Eccles, J. S. (1990) Predictors of math anxiety and its consequences for young adolescents' course enrollment intentions and performances in mathematics. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 82, 60-70.
- Middleton, M. J., & Midgley, C. (1997). Avoiding the demonstration of lack of ability: An unexplored aspect of goal theory. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 89, 710-718.
- Middleton, J.A, & Spanias, P.A. (1999). Motivation for Achievement in Mathematics: Findings, Generalizations, and Criticisms of the Research. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 30(1), 65-88.
- Middleton, J.A., & Photini A.S. (1990). Motivation for Achievement in Mathematics: Findings, Generalizations, and Criticisms of the Research. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*. 30(1), 65-88.

- Midgley, C., Kaplan, A., Middleton, M., Maehr, and M. L., Urdan, T., Anderman, L. H., et al (1998): The development and validation of scales assessing students' achievement goal orientations. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 23, 113-131
- Miller, R. B., Greene, B., Montalvo, G. P., Ravindran, B., & Nichols (1996) Engagement in Academic Work: The Role of Learning Goals, Further Consequences, Pleasing Others, and Perceived Ability. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 21, 388-422
- Mido, C., Kusum, S. & Yun, M. (2007). Science engagement and science achievement: Longitudinal models using NELS data. *Educational Research & Evaluation* 13(4), 349-
- Miserandino, Marianne (1996). Children who do well in school: Individual differences in perceived competence and autonomy in above-average children. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 88, 203-214.
- Mououslides, N., & Philippou, G. (2005): Students Motivational Beliefs, Self-Regulation Strategies and Mathematics Achievement. In: Chick, H. L., & Vincent, J. L. (Eds.) *Proceedings of the 29th Conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education*, Vol. 3, pp. 321-328. Melbourne: PME
- Mwangi, J.G., McCaslin, N.L.(1994). The motivation of Kenya's Rift Valley extension agents. *Journal of Agricultural Education* 35(3), 35.
- Nagy, G., Watt, H. M. G., Eccles, J. S., Ulrich, T., Oliver, L., & Baumert, J (2010) The Development of Students' Mathematical Self-Concept in Relation to Gender: Different Countries, Different Trajectories? *Journal of Research on Adolescence* 20 (2), 482-506
- Newstead, S., & Hoskins, S. (1999) 'Encouraging student motivation.' In H. Fry, S. Ketteridge, & S. Marshall (eds.). *A Handbook for Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*, London. Kogan Page. Pp. 62-74
- Nuttin, J. (1984) *Motivation, planning and action: A relational theory of behaviour dynamics*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum
- Nuttin, J. (1985) *Future time perspective and motivation: Theory and research method*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum
- OECD (2005) PISA (2003) Data Analysis Manual: SPSS Edition. Paris, OECD
- Olsen, F. (2000) California State U. Learns to Rely on Online Remedial-Math Courses. *Chronicle of Higher Education* 46(24), A57.
- Op'T Eynde, P., De Corte, E., & Verschaffel, L., (2006): Epistemic dimensions of students' Mathematics-related belief systems. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 45, 55-70
- Pajares, F. (1996). Self-efficacy beliefs in academic settings. *Review of Educational Research*, 66, 543-578.
- Pallas, A. M., Entwistle, D. R., Alexander, K. L. & Stulka. M. F. (1994). Ability-group effects: Instructional, social, or institutional? *Sociology of Education*, 67, 27-46.
- Parker, M. (2005). Placement, retention, and success: A longitudinal study of mathematics and retention. *The Journal of General Education* 54(1), 22-40
- Parmar, D. & Trotter, E. (2004) "Keeping our students: Identifying factors that influence student withdrawal and strategies to enhance the experience and retention of first year students." *Teaching and Learning in the Social Sciences*, 1 (3), 149-168
- Pietsch, J., Walker, R. & Chapman, E. (2003) The Relationship among Self-concept, self-efficacy, and Performance in Mathematics during secondary school. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 95 (3), 589-603
- Pintrich, P. R. (2000a). Multiple goals, multiple pathways: The role of goal orientation in learning and achievement. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 92, 544-555.
- Pintrich, P. R. (2000b). The role of goal orientation in self-regulated learning. In M. Boekaerts, P. R. Pintrich, & M. Zeidner (Eds.), *Handbook of self-regulation* (pp. 451-502). San Diego, CA: Academic.
- Pintrich, P. R. (2003). A motivational science perspective on the role of student motivation in learning and teaching contexts. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 95, 667-686.
- Pintrich, P. R. & DeGroot, E. V (1990) Motivational and self-regulated learning components of classroom academic performance. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 82, 33-40

- Pintrich, P. R. & Garcia, T. (1991): Student goal orientation and self-regulation in the college classroom. In M. L. Maehr & P. R. Pintrich (Eds.) *Advances in motivation and achievement*, volume 7, 371-401
- Pintrich, P. R., Marx, R. W., & Boyle, R. A. (1993). Beyond cold conceptual change: The role of motivational beliefs and classroom contextual factors in the process of conceptual change. *Review of Educational Research*, 63, 167-199.
- Pintrich, P. R. & Schrauben, B. (1992). Students' motivational beliefs and their cognitive engagement in classroom academic tasks. In D. Schunk & J. Meece (Eds.), *Student perceptions in the classroom* (pp. 149-183). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Pintrich, P. R., & Schunk, D. H. (2003). *Motivation in education: Theory, research, and application* (2nd Ed.). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Merrill-Prentice Hall.
- Pintrich, P. R., Smith, D. A. F., Garcia, T., & McKeachie, W. J. (1991) *The motivated strategies for learning questionnaire (MSLQ)* Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, National Center for Research to Improve Postsecondary Teaching and Learning (NCRIPTAL)
- Pintrich, P. R., & Zusho, A. (2002). The development of academic self-regulation: The role of cognitive and motivational factors. In A. Wigfield & J. S. Eccles (Eds.), *Development of achievement motivation* (pp. 250-
- Plant, R. W., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). Intrinsic motivation and the effects of self-consciousness, self-awareness, and ego-involvement: An investigation of internally-controlling styles. *Journal of Personality*, 53, 435-449.
- Quilter, D., & Harper, E. (1988): Why we don't like mathematics, and why we can't do it. *Educational Research*, 30, 121-134.
- Race, Phil. (1998): 'Teaching: Creating a thirst for learning?' In S. Brown, S. Armstrong & G. Thompson (eds.) *Motivating Students*. London: Kogan Page, pp. 47-57
- Reynolds, A. J., & Walberg, H. J. (1992): A structural model of high school mathematics outcomes. *Journal of Educational Research*, 85, 150-158.
- Rose, H. & Betts, J. (2004). The effect of high school courses on earnings. *Review of Economics & Statistics* 86(2), 497-513
- Rosenberg, M. (1979) *Conceiving the Self*. Basic Books, New York
- Ryan, A. M. (2001). The peer group as a context for the development of young adolescents' motivation and achievement. *Child Development*, 72, 1135-1150.
- Ryan, K. E., Ryan, A. M., Arbutnot, K., & Samuels, M. (2007). Students' motivation for standardized math exams. *Educational Researcher*, 36(1), 5-13.
- Ryan, A. M., Gheen, M. H., & Midgley, C. (1998). Why do some students avoid asking for help? An examination of the interplay among students' academic self-efficacy, teachers' social-emotional role and the classroom goal structure. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 90, 528-535
- Ryan, A. M., Pintrich, P. R., & Midgley, C. (2001). Avoiding seeking help in the classroom: Who and why? *Educational Psychology Review*, 13, 93-114.
- Ryan, R. M. (1992). Agency and organization: Intrinsic motivation, autonomy, and the self in psychological development. In J. Jacobs (Ed.), *Nebraska Symposium on Motivation* (Vol., 40, pp. 1-56). Lincoln, NE: University of Nebraska Press.
- Ryan, R. M., & Connell, J. P. (1989). Perceived locus of causality and internalization: Examining reasons for acting in two domains, *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 57, 749-761.
- Ryan, R. M., Connell, J. P., & Deci, E. L. (198). A motivational analysis of self-determination and self-regulation in education. In C. Ames & R. Ames (Eds.), *Research on motivation in education* (Vol. 2, pp. 13-51). Orlando, FL: Academic press.
- Ryan, R. M. & Deci, E. L. (2000a). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55, 68-78
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000b). When rewards compete with nature: The undermining of intrinsic motivation and self-regulation. In C. Sansone & J. M. Harackiewicz (Eds.), *Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation: The search for optimal motivation and performance* (pp. 13-54). San Diego: Academic Press.

- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2002). An overview of self-determination theory: an organismic-dialectical perspective. In E. L. Deci & R. M. Ryan (Eds.), *Handbook of self-determination theory research* (pp. 3-33). Rochester, NY: University of Rochester Press.
- Ryan, R. M., Connell, J. P., & Deci, E. L. (1985). A motivational analysis of self-determination and self-regulation in education. In C. Ames & R. Ames (Eds.), *Research on motivation in education. Vol. 2: The classroom milieu* (pp. 13-5 London: Academic Press.51
- Ryan, R. M., Koestner, R., & Deci, E. L. (1991) Varied forms of persistence: When free-choice behavior is not intrinsically motivated. *Motivation and Emotion*, 15, 185-205.
- Ryan, R. M., Mims, V., & Koestner, R. (1983). Relation of reward contingency and interpersonal context to intrinsic motivation: A review and test using cognitive evaluation theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 45, 736-750.
- Ryan, A. M., & Patrick, H. (2001). The classroom social environment and changes in adolescents' motivation and engagement during middle school. *American Educational Research Journal*, 38(2), 437-460.
- Schiefele, U. (1991). Interest, learning, and motivation. *Educational Psychologist*, 26, 299-323.
- Schiefele, U. (1992). Topic interest and levels of text comprehension. In K. A. Renninger, S. Hidi, & A. Krapp (Eds.), *The role of interest in learning and development* (pp. 151-182). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Schiefele, U. (1996a). Motivation und Lernen mit Texten [Motivation and text learning]. Göttingen: Hogrefe.
- Schiefele, U. (1996b). Topic interest, text representation, and quality of experience. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 21, 3-18.
- Schiefele, U. (1999). Interest and learning from text. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 3, 257-279.
- Schiefele, U. (2001). The role of interest in motivation and learning. In J. M. Collis & S. Messick (Eds.), *Intelligence and personality: Bridging the gap in theory and measurement* (pp. 163-194). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Schiefele, U. & Krapp, A. (1996). Topic interest and free recall of expository text. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 8, 141-160.
- Schiefele, U., Krapp, A. & Winteler, A. (1992). Interest as a predictor of academic achievement: A meta-analysis of research. In K. A. Renninger, S. Hidi & A. Krapp (Eds.), *The role of interest in learning and development* (pp. 183-212). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Schiefele, U. & Schreyer, I. (1994). Intrinsische Lernmotivation und Lernen [Intrinsic motivation and learning]. *Zeitschrift für Pädagogische Psychologie*, 8, 1-13.
- Schiefele, U. & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1995) Motivation and efforts as factors in mathematics experience and achievement. *Journal of Research in Mathematics Education* 26, 163-181
- Schneider, W., & Bis, K. (1985). Exploratorische Analysen zu Komponenten des Schulerfolgs [Exploratory analyses of components of school achievement]. *Zeitschrift für Entwicklungspsychologie und Pädagogische Psychologie*, 17, 325-340.
- Schneider, B. & Coleman, J. S. (1993) *Parents, their children, and schools*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Schneider, K. (1996). Intrinsically motivated activity as an example of creativity and related behavioral systems. In H. Heckhausen & J. Kuhl (Eds.), *Motivation, volition, and action*. Göttingen: Hogrefe.
- Schunk, D. H. (1987). Peer models and children's behavioral change. *Review of Educational Research*, 57, 149-174.
- Schunk, D. H. (1991). Goal setting and self-evaluation: A social cognitive perspective on self-regulation. In M. L. Maehr & P. R. Pintrich (Eds.), *Advances in motivation and achievement* (Vol. 7, pp. 85-113). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press
- Schunk, D. H. (1994). Self-regulation of self-efficacy and attributions in academic settings. In D. H. Schunk & B. J. Zimmerman (Eds.), *Self-regulation of learning and performance*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Schunk, D. H., & Ertmer, P. A. (2000). Self-regulation and academic learning: Self-efficacy enhancing interventions. In M. Boekaerts, P. R. Pintrich, & M. Zeidner (Eds.), *Handbook of self-regulation*

- (pp. 631-649). San Diego: Academic Press . *Handbook of self-regulation* (pp. 631-649). San Diego: Academic Press
- Schunk, D. H., & Pajares, F. (2002). The development of academic self-efficacy. In A. Wigfield & J. S. Eccles (Eds.), *Development of achievement motivation* (pp. 15-32). San Diego: Academic Press.
- Schunk, D. H., & Zimmerman, B. J. (Eds.) (1994). *Self-regulation of learning and performance*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Seidman, A. (Ed.). (2005). *College Student Retention: Formula for Student Success*. Westport, CT: Praeger. Retrieved October 10, 2009, from Questia database:
<http://www.questia.com/PM.qst?a=o&d=1116>
- Sells, L. W. (1973): High school mathematics as the critical filter in the job market. *Proceedings of the Conference on Minority Graduate Education*. Berkeley: University of California.
- Shapka, J. D, Domene, J. F, and Keating, D. P. (2006) "Trajectories of Career Aspirations Through Adolescence and Young Adulthood: Early math achievement as a critical filter." *Educational Research and Evaluation* Vol. 12, No. 4, August 2006, pp. 347-358
- Shapka, J.D, Domene, J.F. & Keating, D.P. (2005) Trajectories of career aspirations through adolescence and young adulthood: Early math achievement as a critical filter. *Educational Research & Evaluation* 12(4), 347-358.
- Shavelson, R. L & Bolus, R. (1982). Self-Concept: The interplay of theory and methods. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. 74: 3-17
- Shavelson, R. L, Hubner, J. J, & Stanton, G. C (1976). Self-Concept: Validation of construct interpretations. *Review of Educational Research* 46: 407-441
- Sherman, H. J. (1982) Mathematics the Critical Filter: A Look at some Residues. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, volume 6(4), 428-444
- Shernoff, D. J. & Hoogstra, L. (2001): Continuing motivation beyond high school. *New Directions for Child & Adolescent Development* 2001(93), 73-88.29
- Smith, R. M. & Schumacher, P. A. (2005). Predicting success for actuarial students in undergraduate mathematics courses. *College Student Journal* 39(1), 165-177.
- Skaalvik, E. (1997). Self-enhancing and self-defeating ego orientation: Relations with task and task avoidance orientation, achievement, self-perceptions, and anxiety. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 89, 71-81.
- Skaalvik, E. M., & Bong, M. (2003). Self-concept and self-efficacy revisited: A few notable differences and important similarities. In Marsh, H. W., R. Craven, & D. M. McInerney (Eds.), *International advances in self research* (pp. 67-90). Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing
- Skaalvik, E.M. & Rankin, R.J. (1996, April). *Self-concept and self-efficacy: Conceptual analysis*. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association in New York
- Skinner, E. A., Wellborn, J., & Connell, J. (1990) What it takes to do well in school and whether I've got it: A process model of perceived control and children's engagement and achievement in school. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 82, 22-32
- Slavin, R.E. (1990). Achievement effects of ability grouping in secondary schools: A best-evidence synthesis. *Review of Educational Research*, 60, 471-499.
- Slavin, R. E. (1995). *Cooperative learning* (2nd Ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon
- Slavin, A. (2007). Has Ontario taught its high school students? not to think. University Affairs. Retrieved at <http://www.universityaffairs.ca/has-ontario-taught-its-high-school-students-not-to-think.aspx>.
- Steinkamp, M. W., & Maehr, M. L. (1983) Affect, Ability, and Science Achievement: A Quantitative Synthesis of Correlational Research. *REVIEW OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH* 53: 369-396
- Stevens, T., Olivarez, A., Lan, W. Y., & Tallent-Runnels, M. K. (2004) Role of Mathematics Self-Efficacy and Motivation in Mathematics Performance Across Ethnicity. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 97(4), 208-222
- Stipek, D. J. (1984): Sex differences in children's attributions for success and failure on math and spelling tests. *Sex Roles*, 11, 969-981

- Sullivan, H. S. (1947) *Conceptions of Modern Psychiatry: The First William Alanson White Memorial Lectures*. William Alanson White Psychiatric Foundation, Washington DC
- Tennen, H., & Herzberger, S. (1987) Depression, self-esteem, and the absence of self-protective attributional biases. *J. of Personality & Social Psychology* 52: 72-80
- Tinto, V. (1997) "Classrooms as communities: Exploring the educational character of student persistence." *Journal of Higher Education* 68(6): 599-623
- Tsao, Y. (2004). A comparison of American and Taiwanese Students: Their math perceptions. *Journal of Instructional Psychology* 31(3), 206-213.
- Trautwein, U., Ludtke, O., Koller, O., & Baumert, J. (2006) Self-esteem, academic self-concept, and achievement: How the learning environment moderates the dynamics of self-concept. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 90, 334-349
- Trautwein, Ludtke, Schnyder, & Niggli, (2006) Academic Self-Concept: A Structural Equation Modeling. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*
- Trusty, J. (2002). Effects of High School course-taking and other variables on choice of science and mathematics college majors. *Journal of Counselling and Development* 80(4):464-475
- Tuckman, B. W. (1991). Motivating college students: A model based on empirical evidence. *Innovative Higher Education*, 15(2), 167-176
- Urdan, T. C., & Maehr, M. L. (1995): Beyond a two-goal theory of motivation and achievement: A case for social goals. *Review of Educational Research*, 65, 213-243
- Vallerand, Robert J., & Bissormette, Robert (1992). Intrinsic, extrinsic, and amotivational styles as predictors of behavior: A prospective study. *Journal of Personality*, 60, 599-620
- Vallerand, R. J., Pelletier, L. G., Blais, M. R., Brière, N. M., Senécal, C. B. & Vallières, E. F. (1993). On the assessment of intrinsic, extrinsic, and amotivation in education: Evidence on the concurrent and construct validity of the Academic Motivation Scale. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 53, 159-172
- Vansteekiste, M., Lens, W., & Deci, E. (2006). Intrinsic versus extrinsic goal contents in self-determination theory: Another look at the quality of academic motivation. *Educational Psychologist*, 41(1), 19-31
- Weiner, B., (1985). An attributional theory of achievement motivation and emotion. *Psychological Review*, 92, 548-573.
- Weiner, B. (1992). *Human motivation: Metaphors, theories, and research*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications
- Weiner, B. (2004). Attribution theory revisited: Cultural plurality and theoretical unity. In D. M. McInerney & S. Van Etten (Eds.): *Big theories revisited* (Vol. 4: Sociocultural influences on motivation and learning, pp. 13-30). Greenwich, CT: Information Age Press.
- Weiss, I. R. (1990): Mathematics teachers in the United States. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 14, 139-155
- Wheeler, D. L., & Montgomery, D. (2009): Community college students' views on learning mathematics in terms of their epistemological beliefs: a Q method study. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 72, 289-306
- Wigfield, A. (1994). Expectancy - value theory of achievement motivation: A developmental perspective. *Educational Psychology Review*, 6, 49-78.
- Wigfield, A., & Asher, S.R. (1984). Social and motivational influences on reading. In P.D. Pearson, R. Barr, M.L. Kamil, & P. Mosenthal (Eds.), *Handbook of reading research*, (pp. 423-452). New York: Longman.
- Wigfield, A., Battle, A., Keller, L., & Eccles, J. S. (2002). Sex differences in motivation, self-concept, career aspirations, and career choice: Implications for cognitive development. In A. McGillicuddy-Delisi & R. DeLisi (Eds.), *Biology, society, and behavior :The development of sex differences in cognition* (pp. 93-124). Greenwich, CT: Ablex.
- Wigfield, A., Byrnes, J. B., & Eccles, J. S. (in press). Adolescent development. In P. A. Alexander & P. Winne (Eds.), *Handbook of educational psychology* (2nd edition). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Wigfield, A., & Eccles, J. S. (1989). Test anxiety in elementary and secondary school students. *Educational Psychologist*, 24, 159-183.

- Wigfield, A., & Eccles, J. (1992). The development of achievement task values: A theoretical analysis. *Developmental Review, 12*, 265-310.
- Wigfield, A., & Eccles, J. S. (2000). Expectancy - value theory of motivation. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 25*, 68-81.
- Wigfield, A., & Eccles, J. S. (2002a). Children's motivation during the middle school years. In J. Aronson (Ed.), *Improving academic achievement: Contributions of social psychology*. San Diego: Academic Press.
- Wigfield, A., & Eccles, J. S. (2002b). The development of competence beliefs and values from childhood through adolescence. In A. Wigfield & J. S. Eccles (Eds.), *Development of achievement motivation* (pp. 92-120). San Diego: Academic Press.
- Wigfield, A., Eccles, J. S., Mac Iver, D., Reuman, D., & Midgley, C. (1991). Transitions at early adolescence: Changes in children's domain-specific self-perceptions and general self-esteem across the transition to junior high school. *Developmental Psychology, 27*, 552-565.
- Wigfield, A., Eccles, J.S., & Pintrich, P.R. (1996) Development between the ages of eleven and twenty-five. In D.C. Berliner and R.C. Calfee (Eds.), *The Handbook of Educational Psychology*, New York: Macmillan Publishing.
- Wigfield, A., Eccles, J. S., Yoon, K. S., Harold, R. D., Arbretton, A., Freedman-Doan, C., Blumenfeld, P. C. (1997). Changes in children's competence beliefs and subjective task values across the elementary school years: A three-year study. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 89*, 451-469.
- Wigfield, A., & Meece, J. (1988). Math anxiety in elementary and secondary school students. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 80*, 210-216.
- Wigfield, A., & Tonks, S. (2004). The development of motivation for reading. In J. T. Guthrie, A. Wigfield, & K. C. Perencevich (Eds.), *Motivating reading comprehension: Concept Oriented Reading Instruction*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Wilson, V. L., & Palmer, D. J. (1983). Latent partition analysis of attributions for actual achievement. *American Educational Research Journal, 20*, 581-589.
- Winn, S. (2002): Student Motivation: A socio-economic perspective, *Studies in Higher Education, 27*:4, 445-457
- Winn, S, Harley, D., Wilcox, P., & Pemberton, S. (2006) Re-conceptualizing student motivation: Accounting for the social context beyond the classroom. *Learning and Teaching in the Social Sciences, 3*(2), 77-94
- Winne, P. H., & Marx, R. W. (1989). A cognitive-process analysis of motivation within classroom tasks. In C. Ames & R. E. Ames (Eds.), *Research on motivation in education* (Vol. 3, pp. 223-257). San Diego: Academic Press.
- Winston, C., Eccles, J. S., Senior, A. M., & Vida, M. (1997). The utility of an expectancy/value model of achievement for understanding academic performance and self-esteem in African-American and European-American adolescents. *Zeitschrift Fur Padagogische*
- Walters, C. A. (2003). Regulation of motivation: Evaluating an underemphasized aspect of self-regulated learning. *Educational Psychologist, 38*, 189-206.
- Wolters, C. A. (2004): Advancing achievement goal theory: Using goal structures and Goal orientations to predict students' motivation, cognition, and achievement. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 96*, 236-250
- Wong, C.A., Eccles, J.S., & Sameroff, A.J. (2003). The influence of ethnic discrimination and ethnic identification on African-Americans adolescents' school and socio-emotional adjustment. *Journal of Personality, 71*, 1197-1232.
- Woolfolk, A. (1990) *Educational Psychology* (4th ed.). Englewood Cliffs N.J. Prentice-Hall pp. 300-354, 576
- Yee, D. K., & Flanagan, C. (1985). Family environments and self-consciousness in early adolescence. *Journal of Early Adolescence, 5*, 59-68
- Yeung, W.J, Linver, M.R., & Brooks-Gunn, J. (2002) How money matters for young children's development: Parental investment and family processes. *Child Development, 73*, 1861-1879.
- Zimbardo, P. G., Weber, A. L., & Johnson, R. L. (2000): *Psychology* (3rd edition). Allyn and Bacon. Boston

- Zimmerman, B. J. (1989): A social cognitive view of self-regulated learning. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 81, 329-339.
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2000): Attaining self-regulation: A social cognitive perspective, in M. Boekaerts, P. R. Pintrich, & M. Zeidner (Eds.): *Handbook of self-regulation* (pp. 13-39). San Diego: Academic Press.
- Zimmerman, B. J., & Kitasantas, A. (1999): Acquiring writing revision skill: Shifting from process to outcome self-regulatory goals. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 91, 241-250
- Zimmerman, B. J., & Martinez-Pons, M. (1990). Student differences in self-regulated learning: Relating grade, sex, and giftedness to self-efficacy and strategy use. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 82, 51-59.

Dr. Fayez Seifeddine

fayez.seifeddine@ucalgary.ca

or fayezs@athabascau.ca