

Writing and artificial intelligence: *apprivoiser* one's own paper

Écriture et intelligence artificielle : « apprivoiser » son propre texte

Shigeki Matsubara¹

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Jichi Medical University, Tochigi, Japan

Correspondence to: Shigeki Matsubara, M.D., Ph.D. Emeritus and Visiting Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Jichi Medical University, 3311-1 Shimotsuke, Tochigi 329-0498, Japan; phone: +81-285-58-7376, Fax: +81-285-44-8505; email: matsushi@jichi.ac.jp

Published ahead of issue: Aug 9, 2025; published: Dec 22, 2025. CMEJ 2025, 16(6) Available at <https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.82010>

© 2025 Matsubara; licensee Synergies Partners. This is an Open Journal Systems article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0>) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

Dear Editors,

Generative AI use in medical education, including medical writing has been increasingly discussed in the Canadian Medical Education Journal.¹⁻⁴

While AI may save time, we must move beyond this discussion. I invite readers to recall the French verb *apprivoiser*. Antoine de Saint-Exupéry, in his novel *Le Petit Prince*, deepened its meaning: not merely “to tame,” but to take time with something, to build a bond through shared experience, so that it becomes precious and irreplaceable.

The long nights spent wrestling with expression, the quiet persistence in shaping one's ideas. This is the very process: to *apprivoise* one's paper.

In Japan, *Le Petit Prince* is a widely loved story. Researchers in French literature continue to discuss the deeper layers of *apprivoiser*. Many people, including myself, a long-time admirer of French novels though not a specialist, are captivated by this term. The word *apprivoiser* feels ever close to us.

Dialogue is difficult between those who regard papers as mere records, where only accuracy matters, and those who cherish their work as the product of a personal journey. Is saving time, even if AI genuinely does so, really so important?

Even when using AI, I advise: write the draft independently, revise it until it feels fully your own, then use AI only to

check for grammar. If the AI's suggested expressions feel foreign, return to your original phrasing.⁵

To write independently is to *apprivoiser* one's paper. And this act—slow, imperfect, human—may become one's invisible treasure. After 46 years of writing over 620 PubMed-indexed papers, I grasp it: *Le plus important est invisible*.⁶

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares that there is no conflict of interest regarding this article.

Funding: None

Edited by: Marcel D'Eon (editor-in-chief)

References

1. Morjaria L, Sibbald M. Generative artificial intelligence in medical education: moving from potential to practice: when AI surpasses MDs. *Can Med Ed J*. 2025. <https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.80607>
2. Aristizabal Londono C, Huang C, Chan G. Harnessing Artificial Intelligence's potential in undergraduate medical education: an analysis of application and implication. *Can Med Ed J*. 2023. <https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.78483>.
3. Feldman J, Gilchrist C, Leung F-H. Artificial Intelligence can transform formative assessment in medical education. *Can Med Ed J*. 2024. <https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.79759>
4. St-Onge C. Embracing the next frontier in assessment. *Can Med Ed J*. 2025. <https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.81477>
5. Matsubara S. Artificial Intelligence in medical writing: addressing untouched threats. *JMA J*. 2025;8(1):273-275. <https://doi.org/10.31662/jmaj.2024-0268>.
6. Matsubara S. ChatGPT use should be prohibited in writing letters. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2024;231(3):e110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2024.04.046>.