# Using telesimulation to introduce a rural virtual support program to family medicine residents

Utilisation de la télésimulation pour présenter un programme de soutien virtuel en milieu rural aux résidents en médecine familiale

Jeanne MacLeod, 1 Frank X Scheuermeyer 1,2

<sup>1</sup>Department of Emergency Medicine, St Paul's Hospital and the University of British Columbia, British Columbia, Canada; <sup>2</sup>Center for Advancing Health Outcomes, British Columbia, Canada

Correspondence to: Frank Scheuermeyer, Department of Emergency Medicine, St Paul's Hospital

1081 Burrard St., Vancouver BC V6Z 1Y6 Canada; phone: 604 806 8480; fax: 604 806 8488; email: <a href="mailto:frank.scheuermeyer@gmail.com">frank.scheuermeyer@gmail.com</a>

Published ahead of issue: Oct 14, 2025. CMEJ 2025 Available at https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.81024

© 2025 MacLeod, Scheuermeyer; licensee Synergies Partners. This is an Open Journal Systems article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License. (<a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0</a>) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

### **Implication Statement**

Rural and remote physicians face challenges including management of critically ill patients. At eleven British Columbia resident teaching sites, seven of which were rural, we developed interactive video simulation sessions for treating such patients, and these were video-preceptored by a remotely located physician providing real-time debriefing. Family medicine residents found this program acceptable and educational, felt it increased confidence in managing sick patients, and could lead to future rural experiences and retention. Given this program additionally decreases cost and time of simulations due to less travel, we anticipate similar benefits for other Canadian family medicine programs.

# Introduction

Many early-career family physicians feel unprepared managing critically ill patients, leading to burnout and retention challenges.<sup>1</sup> In 2020, British Columbia established Real-Time Virtual Support, (RTVS) an ondemand service allowing rural physicians rapid teleconsultation with specialist (emergency, pediatric, and maternity) expertise, including management and transfer of critically ill patients.<sup>2</sup>

RTVS can be used to support simulation sessions for family medicine residents (FMR).<sup>3</sup> Telesimulation—use of an onsite mannequin with a remotely located facilitator and

# Énoncé des implications de la recherche

Les médecins exerçant en milieu rural ou éloigné font face à divers défis, notamment la prise en charge de patients en état critique. Dans onze sites d'enseignement pour résidents en Colombie-Britannique, dont sept situés en milieu rural, nous avons élaboré des séances interactives de simulation vidéo pour le traitement de tels patients. Ces séances étaient supervisées à distance par un médecin précepteur offrant une rétroaction en temps réel. Les résidents en médecine familiale ont jugé ce programme pertinent et formateur; ils ont estimé qu'il renforçait leur confiance dans la prise en charge de patients gravement malades et qu'il pourrait favoriser de futures expériences et la rétention en milieu rural. Comme ce programme réduit également les coûts et le temps associés aux simulations en limitant les déplacements, nous prévoyons des avantages similaires pour d'autres programmes de médecine familiale au Canada.

educator observing on video, and video-based debriefing immediately afterwards—has been used in other specialties.<sup>4</sup> There is little data on telesimulation for rural FMR and our purpose was to estimate the impact of telesimulation on FM residents' confidence, comfort, and interest in rural practice.

# Description of innovation

We surveyed UBC FMR from March 1, 2023, to March 1, 2024. (UBC REB H23-01280) FMR training takes place in clinics and affiliated hospitals in communities ranging from 15,500 to 150,000, and the sites have a wide range of capabilities, although critically ill patients must be

transferred. No site had prior experience with telesimulations.

Sites used a high-fidelity mannequin (SimMan, Laerdal Medical, Stavanger, Norway) to simulate a standardized high acuity patient encounter involving myocardial infarction, sepsis, major trauma, cardiac arrest, and diabetic ketoacidosis. During each 2-hour simulation session, groups of 3-7 FMR, with one off-site facilitator (trained in family medicine, emergency medicine, critical care) viewing via the digital platform Zoom, undertook the same two cases across sites. Using PEARLS,<sup>5</sup> this remote preceptor synchronously debriefed FMR individually and as a group, although there was no requirement for an on-site family medicine preceptor.

We assessed resident perceptions of the telesimulation via on online survey including awareness of RTVS support, quality of patient care, quality of the simulation and impact on the location of future practice. Most questions involved a 5-point Likert scale which we dichotomized into strongly and somewhat agree versus "other". We used Qualtrics Core XM (Qualtrics, Seattle, WA) for analysis.

#### Outcomes

We recruited residents from 11 of the 17 FMR sites including all seven of the rural sites, and conducted 14 two-hour sessions, with 89 FMR participating and 51 (57%) completing the survey. Telesimulation was regarded as very positive: residents universally agreed (100%) on a high level of engagement, felt the experience demonstrated effective management of high-acuity patients, and were comfortable with remote facilitator and debriefing. (Table 1)

Participants reported substantial enthusiasm for RTVS, 81% felt more confidence in future rural practice; 98% planned to incorporate RTVS into future practice, 96% reported confidence with technology and comfort requesting help; 100% felt RTVS support would improve patient care.

# Suggestions

Our study illustrates that remotely-preceptored simulations were acceptable and educational for FMR in rural or remote settings. Telesimulation could be also used as a recruitment and retention tool for physicians in rural areas. Our findings should be replicated in other environments with additional scenarios,<sup>6</sup> employ qualitative methods to further explore this concept, and assess over time if this enhances recruitment and retention.

Table 1. Survey results (n = 51, although not every question has 51 respondents)

51 respondents)					
Survey question, n (%)	Strong agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strong disagree
Did the simulation meet learning objectives					
My engagement was effective	34 (69.4)	15 (30.6)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Demonstrated effective approach to clinical case for critical illness	37 (75.5)	12 (24.5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Demonstrated use of technology to connect to RTVS facilitator	36 (69.4)	13 (30.6)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Demonstrated quality of practice support by RTVS facilitator	40 (81.6)	8 (16.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (2.0)
RTVS facilitator demonstrated cultural safety	33 (67.3)	9 (19.1)	6 (12.2)	0 (0)	1 (2.1)
Virtual debriefing					
Virtual debriefing was effective	33 (67.3)	15 (30.6)	0 (0)	1 (2.0)	0 (0)
Virtual preceptor was supportive	44 (88.0)	6 (12.0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Participant interaction was good	11 (47.8)	9 (39.1)	3 (13.0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
After participating in simulation, feelings on future RTVS use					
Plan to incorporate RTVS into future practice	41 (85.4)	6 (12.5)	1 (2.1)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Feel confident with employing technology	40 (85.2)	6 (12.8)	1 (2.1)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Feel RTVS will improve quality of patient care	42 (87.5)	6 (12.5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Feel comfortable asking RTVS for assistance	36 (75.0)	10 (20.8)	2 (2.2)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Feel more confident about rural practice	39 (81.3)	9 (18.7)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

\*Of 48 respondents, 39 planned to work in a rural or remote area in the future, while 8 were unsure and 1 respondent did not plan to work in a rural or remote area. Two questions on age and cultural background had very low response rates. For 43 respondents, this was the first time using RTVS while 8 had used it previously. Of 48 respondents, 47 perceived no bias on behalf of the facilitator, while 1 responded did.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors report no conflicts of interest. **Funding:** This study had no funding.

Acknowledgements: The authors are grateful for the contributions of the Faculty of Medicine Continuing Profession Development, University of British Columbia, particularly Lisa Wissink, Hareem Minai, and Nicole Moon. This work was supported by a UBC Learning Healthy Systems grant.

**Authorship:** All authors have each signed their own attestation statement that they meet the requirements of authors. The lead author, in addition to their own attestation as an author, has signed an attestation that all authors listed on this paper meet the requirements for authors.

**Edited by:** Amina Sadik (section editor); Anita Acai (senior section editor); Marcel D'Eon (editor-in-chief)

# References

- Aggarwal M, Abdelhalim R. Are early career family physicians prepared for practice in Canada? A qualitative study. *BMC Med Educ.* 2023;23:370. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04250-z">https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04250-z</a>
- Novak Lauscher H, Stewart K, Markham R, et al. Real-time virtual supports improving health equity and access in British Columbia. *Healthc Manage Forum*. 2023;36:285–92. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1177/08404704231183177">https://doi.org/10.1177/08404704231183177</a>
- Tenegra JC, Hoffman MR, Mueller Luckey GS, DiLalla LF, Ledford CJ. Simulation-based medical education in family medicine residencies: a CERA study. Fam Med. 2022;54:264–9. https://doi.org/10.22454/FamMed.2022.731975
- Roach E, Okrainec A. Telesimulation for remote simulation and assessment. J Surg Oncol. 2021;124:193–9. https://doi.org/10.1002/jso.26505

- Eppich W, Cheng A. Promoting excellence and reflective learning in simulation (PEARLS): development and rationale for a blended approach to health care simulation debriefing. Simul Healthc. 2015;10:106–15.
  - https://doi.org/10.1097/SIH.0000000000000072
- Christenson MD, Oestergaard D, Dieckmann P, Watterson L. Learners' perceptions during simulation-based training: an interview study comparing remote versus locally facilitated simulation-based training. Simul Healthc. 2018;13:306–15. https://doi.org/10.1097/SIH.0000000000000000