

## Experienced or witnessed racism and microaggressions during medical education: an exploratory survey of medical learners at a large Canadian medical school

Samara Adler,<sup>1</sup> Jérémie Boivin-Côté,<sup>2</sup> Isabelle Gravel,<sup>3</sup> Chaimaa Ouizzane,<sup>4</sup> Samantha Bizimungu,<sup>5</sup> Claude Julie Bourque,<sup>6</sup> Jean Michel Leduc<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; <sup>2</sup>Department of Family Medicine, McGill University, Quebec, Canada; <sup>3</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Université de Montréal, Quebec, Canada; <sup>4</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Université de Montréal

<sup>5</sup>Department of Dermatology, Université de Montréal, Quebec, Canada; <sup>6</sup>Department of Family Medicine and Emergency Medicine, Université de Montréal Quebec, Canada; <sup>7</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Université de Montréal, Quebec, Canada

Correspondence to: Samara Adler; email: sadler@toh

Published ahead of issue: Jul 28, 2025. CMEJ 2025 Available at <https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.79882>

© 2025 Adler, Boivin-Côté, Gravel, Ouizzane, Bizimungu, Bourque, Leduc; licensee Synergies Partners. This is an Open Journal Systems article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0>) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

### Abstract

**Background:** As institutions strive to incorporate Equity, Diversity, Inclusion, Indigeneity, and Accessibility (EDIIA) principles into their policies and curricula, various forms of discrimination persist within the medical education system. The objective of this study was to understand learner experiences related to racism, discrimination and microaggressions in a large Canadian medical school to ultimately inform future efforts to address issues identified.

**Methods:** This survey-based study was distributed to all current medical students and residents at a large Canadian University. Questions focused on lived and witnessed experiences of microaggressions, discrimination or racism during medical education. We computed descriptive statistics and risk ratios for experienced or witnessed events.

**Results:** The survey response rate was 12.4% (321/2579), with 26% of participants self-identifying as Black, Indigenous or People of Color (BIPOC). During medical education, 30% of respondents reported experiencing racism or microaggressions, while 51% reported witnessing these events. Attending physicians (31%) and patients/families (22%) were most likely to be identified as responsible. Common proposed solutions by respondents included: anonymous reporting systems, dedicated counsellors from BIPOC groups, education of healthcare professionals on microaggressions and discrimination and increased peer/faculty support.

**Conclusions:** Among participants, this study described a high rate of witnessed or experienced racism or microaggressions during medical education, leading to local interventions to improve the psychological safety of learners.

### Résumé

*Résumé français à venir.*

## Introduction

Although there is growing recognition of the need to integrate Equity, Diversity, Inclusion, Indigeneity and Accessibility (EDIIA) principles and practices within medical education, minimal Canadian data and no studies within Québec exist on the prevalence of microaggressions, discrimination and racism within medical education.<sup>1-3</sup>

Microaggressions are subtle, discriminatory comments or behaviours that convey hostile, derogatory, or negative messages based on identities. While they can be intentional or unintentional, microaggressions are often described as “death by a thousand cuts” and are linked to significant burnout in medical learners and worse health outcomes more broadly.<sup>4-7</sup>

We conducted this study to understand medical learners’ experiences with racial microaggressions and racism at one of the largest Canadian medical schools. Our ultimate objective is to use this understanding to enhance safety for medical learners and advocate for learners’ needs based on study findings.

### Theoretical approach

This study was informed by Critical Race Theory (CRT), which frames racism as systemic and embedded in institutional structures, including the healthcare system. CRT centres the lived experience of equity-deserving groups and provides a lens to understand microaggressions, further subdivided as microassaults, microinsults and microinvalidations, as reflections of broader power imbalances.<sup>8</sup> (See Appendix A for a complete summary of terms)

## Methods

### Survey design

Our local Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion (EDI) committee, composed of medical students and residents of diverse backgrounds and years of study, conducted a brief literature review and designed a survey aligned with our objective. This survey was reviewed by the entirety of the committee and research team. Questions included: age, gender, stage of medical training, religion, ethnicity, birth country, language, and use of visible religious symbols. Respondents were able to self-identify as BIPOC (Black, Indigenous People of Colour). We collected quantitative data on experienced racism and microaggressions using dichotomic closed-ended questions (yes/no), multiple choice questions and 5-point Likert scales. Open-ended questions were also included to provide examples of learner experiences for advocacy efforts and to elicit

suggestions for potential resources. To facilitate the interpretation of questions by respondents, we defined and provided examples for words and concepts such as “microaggression,” “racism,” and “discrimination,” (Appendix A).

### Setting

The setting for this study was the Faculty of Medicine at the University of Montreal in Québec, Canada. We included all medical students, residents in all specialties, as well as students in the preparatory year of medicine.<sup>9</sup>

### Sample size and sampling methods

At the time of survey distribution, 2579 medical students and residents were enrolled at the University of Montreal. The population described above was invited by email to voluntarily participate in this study. The survey remained open for a period of 30 days in April 2021, with weekly email reminders.

### Ethics

Our institution’s REB approved this study (certificate #CEREP-21-007-D). Given its nature, we provided mental health support resources on each page of the survey. We also included contact information of faculty support counsellors who knew this project would be taking place.

### Data analysis

Analysis consisted of descriptive statistics and risk ratios with 95% confidence intervals of witnessed or experienced microaggressions and characteristics associated with these events. To assess impacts on BIPOC and specifically Black learners, we compared the association between sociodemographic characteristics and the risk of witnessing/experiencing racism or microaggression for these subgroups.

### Positionality statement

This research is grounded in a critical framework that acknowledges the influence of researcher positionality at all stages, from design to publication. Among the seven coauthors, five identify as gender minorities and three as racialized individuals. The team includes residents and attending physicians across multiple specialties, a university professor and sociologist, and a residency program director actively engaged in EDI initiatives. All authors are committed to equity and social justice which informs the study’s focus on discrimination in medical education. Their diverse professional roles and lived experiences with both privilege and oppression brings complementary perspectives essential to conducting this work responsibly.

## Results

A total of 321 medical students and residents from the University of Montreal responded to our survey with a response rate of 12.6%. Respondent demographics are summarized in Table 1. Compared to available internal data collected at the time of the study, demographic characteristics of respondents were similar to non-respondents.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of survey participants (n = 321)

Variable	n(%)
<b>Gender</b>	
Female	221 (68.8)
Male	95 (29.6)
Nonbinary or other	< 5
<b>Age</b>	
19-25	146 (45.9)
26-30	125 (39.3)
31-40	39 (12.2)
41+	8 (2.4)
<b>Level of medical education</b>	
Medical student	166 (52.5)
Resident	150 (47.4)
<b>Ethnicity</b>	
White	207 (62.2)
North African/Middle Eastern	57 (17.1)
Asian	43 (12.9)
Black (African, Caribbean)	17 (5.1)
Latin American	9 (2.7)
Indigenous	< 5
Other	5 (1.5)
Self-Identification as BIPOC	83 (25.9)
<b>Religion</b>	
Agnostic or Atheist	193 (60.3)
Christian	78 (24.4)
Muslim	28 (8.8)
Jewish	5 (1.6)
Buddhism/Other	4 (1.9)
Visible religious symbol	10 (3.2)
<b>Language (First language)</b>	
French	225 (70.1)
English	17 (5.3)
Other	79 (24.2)

Note that the total number of respondents (n) may vary across characteristics as responses to individual questions were optional, and some participants may have chosen not to answer specific questions

Of all respondents, 30.5% reported having been a victim of a microaggression or a racist act during medical school or residency. These experiences tended not to occur in isolation, with 88.1% of victims reporting two or more instances and 19.6% reporting over 10 throughout their education. When asked to categorize the type of discriminatory encounter, 68.4% of respondents expressed that they experienced mainly or exclusively racial microaggressions compared to overt racism. Medical learner anecdotes from this survey are summarized in impactful examples presented in Figure 1.



Infographic by Samara Adler, MD

Figure 1. Infographic

Comparatively, over half (51%) of medical learners reported having witnessed a microaggression or racist act during their medical education. Again, these events tended to be repeated, with similar proportions reporting over two (89%) and ten (22.6%) times, respectively.

Individuals who self-identified as BIPOC were 4.5 times more likely (RR 4.51, 95% CI 3.27-6.21) to have experienced and 1.4 times more likely (RR 1.39 95% CI 1.11-1.74) to have witnessed such events. While Black medical learners were more likely to have experienced microaggressions or racism (87.5%) compared to non-Black BIPOC individuals (68.7%), this difference was not statistically significant (RR 2.51 95% CI: 0.654-9.614;  $p=0.213$ ), likely due to small sample size of Black medical learners (5.0% of respondents).

Survey participants identified perpetrators of microaggressions or racist behaviour as being attending physicians (33.4%), hospital employees such as nurses or healthcare aids (25.5%) or patient and their caregivers (24.6%) in most cases. The target of these acts were most often residents or students (43.3%) or patients and their caregivers (24.9%).

Few respondents intervened after witnessing these events, with 36% reporting some intervention, which ranged from validating the victim's experience, voicing disagreement directly with the perpetrator, to informally or formally reporting events to faculty leaders. In a hypothetical scenario, respondents felt less comfortable confronting a perpetrator committing a microaggression or racist act as the academic seniority of the perpetrator increased. For example, 69% of respondents would be very comfortable or comfortable confronting a medical student, whereas proportions fell to 45.5% for residents and 12.7% for attending physicians.

Just over half of medical learners (55%) were aware of established reporting methods within the Faculty of Medicine, and very few (2.5%) had previously engaged with these resources. The interventions most commonly suggested by respondents to improve learner safety included: counsellors trained by/composed of BIPOC individuals, EDI-focused committees, microaggression training for learners and healthcare staff, and designated individuals available to respond to concerns at both university and hospital levels.

While respondents had variable experiences and opinions, they nearly unanimously agreed (95.3%) that BIPOC learners may or do face additional obstacles or challenges during their medical education.

## Discussion

Among medical learners in our survey, high rates of witnessed and experienced discriminatory behaviours were reported. Boyle et al. has examined the consequences of experiencing these events, finding that microaggressions "led to decreased access to opportunities, a delay in assuming the physician identity, and a negative impact on their sense of self and wellbeing."<sup>10</sup> Our study notably demonstrated the repetitive nature of these events, likely amplifying the negative consequences and psychological toll.

Some of our findings align with previous Canadian studies on this topic. A 2022 national survey of self-identifying Black medical trainees showed that 59% experienced discrimination during medical school, rising to 100% in fourth year medical students.<sup>11</sup> Our study builds on this work by surveying a broader and more diverse population of medical learners and by capturing both direct and observed incidents of racism. By including witnessed events, we aimed to reflect not only direct individual experiences but also the wider learning environment. While no Canadian studies have focused on witnessing discrimination during medical education, an American study found residents commonly observed racial and gender-based microaggressions.<sup>12</sup> By narrowing our scope to focus on racism and racial microaggressions, we aimed to better identify targets for future interventions.

Despite growing awareness of racism and discrimination within medical education, our survey identified a key barrier: discomfort in addressing or reporting incidents involving individuals in positions of higher seniority. This mirrors findings by Boyle et al., where residents cited fear of professional repercussions and lack of confidence in meaningful outcomes.<sup>10</sup> Our respondents echoed these concerns, highlighting the impact of power dynamics within medical training.

In response to respondent recommendations for increased education on this topic, simulation-based learning may offer an effective teaching method. Tong et al.'s study on a workshop to "empower [medical teachers] to disarm microaggressions in the clinical teaching environment" incorporated simulations specifically involving hierarchical power dynamics, often requiring modified strategies.<sup>13</sup> This is particularly relevant to our findings, which highlight power differentials as a major obstacle that could be specifically addressed in simulation initiatives. Given the widespread presence of simulation programs in medical education in Canada, this setting offers a practical and



scalable avenue to introduce training on microaggressions and bias.<sup>14,15</sup>

Our study aimed to provide the faculty of medicine with quantitative data and clear examples to guide interventions to enhance learners' psychological safety. As previously reported by Young et al. in their study on microaggressions in Pediatric Medical Education, the focus must be to advocate at the systemic level.<sup>16</sup>

Our results have since been shared at multiple faculty meetings, contributing to local initiatives such as the adoption of anti-Discrimination policies within the Faculty of Medicine and the introduction of specific training for residency program directors on microaggressions and racism. Efforts were also made to make students aware of the available and improved institutional resources such as the "Office of Respect of the Individual" (Bureau du respect de la personne), a resource group dedicated to "the prevention of and response to harassment, discrimination, racism, and sexual violence."<sup>17</sup>

Addressing microaggressions from patients present unique challenges. However, strategies have been proposed previously in the literature and can be integrated into education for both medical learners and teachers.<sup>18,19</sup>

This study has some limitations, including the relatively low response rate, which may have led to the selection of medical learners with a higher degree of knowledge or interest in this topic, or who may be more likely to experience racism or microaggressions. The precise impact of this sampling bias is unclear, though it is reassuring that the general demographics of survey respondents align with those of all medical learners at the time of this study. Despite this limitation, our study offers important strengths. It surveyed a large and diverse sample of current medical learners at a major Canadian medical school, and, to our knowledge, is the first of its kind in the province. Our findings have already informed local efforts, including anti-racism training and position statements, and improved institutional procedures. They also offer actionable insight for medical educators nationally.

## Conclusion

Medical learners surveyed experienced and witnessed a high rate of microaggressions or racism during medical education. These findings underscore the urgent need for medical institutions to acknowledge and respond to these experiences. While this study does not evaluate specific interventions, education on this topic and tools to address identified barriers such as power differentials in medicine

should be considered. Ultimately, our hope is that ongoing advocacy will foster a culture of psychological safety for medical learners.

**Conflicts of Interest:** Jean-Michel Leduc is an editor for the CMEJ. He adhered to the CMEJ policy for editors as authors.

**Funding:** None

**Edited by:** Ann Shuk On Lee (section editor); Cindy Schmidt (senior section editor); Marcel D'Eon (editor-in-chief)

**Acknowledgement:** We would like to thank Dr. Margaret Henri, MD, FRCPC for her contribution to this project.

## References

1. Razack S, Naidu T. Honouring the multitudes: removing structural racism in medical education. *Lancet*. 2022 Dec 10;400(10368):2021–3. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(22\)02454-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(22)02454-0)
2. Douglas D, Ndumbe-Eyoh S, Osei-Tutu K, et al. Black Health Education Collaborative: the important role of Critical Race Theory in disrupting anti-Black racism in medical practice and education. *CMAJ*. 2022 Oct 24;194(41):E1422–4. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.221503>
3. Osei-Tutu K, Duchesne N, Barnabe C, et al. Anti-racism in CanMEDS 2025. *Can Med Educ J*. 2023 Mar 21;14(1):33–40. <https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.75844>
4. Hu Y, Ellis RJ, Hewitt BD, et al. Discrimination, abuse, harassment, and burnout in surgical residency training. *NEJM*. 2019 Oct 31;381(18):1741–52. <http://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa1903759>
5. Suleman S, Garber KD, Rutkow L. Xenophobia as a determinant of health: an integrative review. *J Pub Health Pol*. 2018;39(4):407–23. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41271-018-0140-1>
6. Pascoe EA, Richman LS. Perceived discrimination and health: a meta-analytic review. *Psychol Bulletin*. 2019 July; 135(4):531–54. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016059>
7. Paradies Y, Ben J, Denson N, et al. Racism as a determinant of health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(9):e0138511. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0138511>
8. Delgado R, Stefancic J. *Critical Race Theory, Fourth Edition: An Introduction*. NYU Press; 2023. 223 p.
9. Année préparatoire au doctorat en médecine. Available from: <https://admission.umontreal.ca/programmes/annee-preparatoire-au-doctorat-en-medecine/>. [Accessed on May 7, 2025].
10. Boyle J, E. Chan S, Joneja M, Gauthier S, Leung M. An identity on guard: the impact of microaggressions on the professional identity formation of residents. *BMC Med Educ*. 2025 Dec;25(1):1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-025-06818-3>
11. Mathieu J, Fotsing S, Akinbobola K, et al. The quest for greater equity: a national cross-sectional study of the experiences of Black Canadian medical students. *CMAJ Open*. 2022 Oct 24;10(4):E937–44. <https://doi.org/10.9778/cmajo.20220192>
12. Fisher HN, Chatterjee P, Warren SB, Yialamas MA. Witnessed microaggression experiences of internal medicine trainees: a single-site survey. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2022 Sep 1;37(12):3208–10.

13. Tong XC, Chopra S, Jordan H, Sibbald M, Geekie-Sousa A, Monteiro S. Did we create brave spaces? A realist evaluation report on simulation-based faculty development workshop in equity, diversity, inclusivity, and Indigenous reconciliation. *Adv Simul*. 2025 Dec;10(1):1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41077-025-00346-2>
14. Gu Y, Tenenbein M, Korz L, Busse JW, Chiu M. Simulation-based medical education in Canadian anesthesiology academic institutions: a national survey. *Can J Anesth/J Can Anesth*. 2024 Dec 1;71(12):1725–34. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-024-02720-6>
15. Russell E, Hall AK, Hagel C, Petrosioniak A, Dagnone JD, Howes D. Simulation in Canadian postgraduate emergency medicine training - a national survey. *CJEM*. 2018 Jan;20(1):132–41. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cem.2017.24>
16. Young K, Punnett A, Suleman S. A little hurts a lot: exploring the impact of microaggressions in pediatric medical education. *Pediatrics*. 2020 Jul 1;146(1):e20201636. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2020-1636>
17. Le Bureau d'intervention en matière de harcèlement change de nom. Article. 2022. Available from: <https://nouvelles.umontreal.ca/article/2022/04/07/le-bureau-d-intervention-en-matiere-de-harcelement-change-de-nom/> [Accessed on May 15, 2025].
18. York M, Langford K, Davidson M, et al. becoming active bystanders and advocates: teaching medical students to respond to bias in the clinical setting. *MedEdPORTAL*. 17:11175. [https://doi.org/10.15766/mep\\_2374-8265.11175](https://doi.org/10.15766/mep_2374-8265.11175)
19. Shankar M, Albert T, Yee N, Overland M. Approaches for residents to address problematic patient behavior: before, during, and after the clinical encounter. *JGME*. 2019 Aug 1;11(4):371–4. <https://doi.org/10.4300/JGME-D-19-00075.1>

Published ahead of print

## Appendix A. Definitions provided to survey respondents

### Definitions included in the the survey

Note that original definitions were written in French

#### 1. BIPOC:

- Black, Indigenous, People Of Colour

#### 2. Racism

- There are many definitions of the word racism and none are universally accepted. According to Scheurick and Young<sup>1</sup>, racism can take many forms. In the context of this study, we are discussing mainly its interpersonal and institutional forms.

##### 1. Institutional racism

- Symbols, actions, procedures, norms rules or laws that, in a biased fashion, give certain groups advantages as the expense of others.<sup>2</sup> Ex. Racial profiling

##### 2. Interpersonal racism

- Plays out in the interactions and relationships between people according to apparent or explicit dynamics (overt racism), or according to subtle, hidden or implicit dynamics (covert racism). Ex: Someone not associating with Black people because they believe they are violent

#### 3. Microaggression

- "Racial microaggressions are subtle insults, everyday indignities, brief and mundane, verbal, behavioural or environmental in nature that communicate, intentionally or unintentionally, disrespect or insult towards a person or a target group."<sup>3,4</sup> Continuous and repeated microaggressions, although sometimes not malicious on the part of the offender, can be experienced as discriminatory acts (or racism) by the person who suffers them
- Sue et al. subdivide racial microaggressions into three categories :

1. *Microassaults*: Explicit and derogatory declarations, contemptuous behaviour of the aggressor towards the attacked. Ex. Racial slurs, displaying a swastika, intentionally serving a white person before a person of colour<sup>5</sup>.
2. *Microinsults*: Rude and insensitive comments that undermine an individual's racial or ethnic identity. Ex. An employee who asks a racialized colleague how they got the job, implying that they would have benefited from quotas or affirmative action.
3. *Microinvalidations*: Minimization of perceptions, thoughts, feelings, or other experiences that constitute the reality of a person of colour. Ex. Asking a non-White person where they were born.

#### References

1. Scheurich, J. J., & Young, M. D. (1997). Coloring epistemologies: Are our research epistemologies racially biased?. *Educational researcher*, 26(4), 4-16.
2. Louis, J. (2020). Être jeune et Noir·e·s: Les micro-agressions raciales vécues par de jeunes Noir·e·s de 18 à 30 ans en milieu scolaire au Québec et en Ontario.
3. Dumitru, S. (2015). De quelle origine êtes-vous? Banalisation du nationalisme méthodologique. *Terrains/Théories*, (3).
4. Sue, D. W., Capodilupo, C. M., Torino, G. C., Bucceri, J. M., Holder, A., Nadal, K. L., & Esquilin, M. (2007). Racial microaggressions in everyday life: implications for clinical practice. *American psychologist*, 62(4), 271.
5. DeAngelis, T. (2009, February). Unmasking 'racial micro aggressions'. *Monitor on Psychology*, 40(2). <http://www.apa.org/monitor/2009/02/microaggression>

Publishe