

## Re: “Development of a medical education podcast in obstetrics and gynecology”

Ré : « La production d’une baladodiffusion éducative en obstétrique et gynécologie »

Abirami Kirubarajan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Correspondence to: Abirami Kirubarajan MSc, 1 Kings College Circle, Toronto, ON, Canada, M5S 1A8; email: [abi.kirubarajan@mail.utoronto.ca](mailto:abi.kirubarajan@mail.utoronto.ca)

Published ahead of issue: February 18, 2021; published April 30, 2021. CMEJ 2021, 12(2) Available at <http://www.cmej.ca>

© 2021 Kirubarajan; licensee Synergies Partners

<https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.72073>. This is an Open Journal Systems article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0>) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is cited.

I would like to commend *Black et al.*<sup>1</sup> for their excellent article regarding their medical education podcast for obstetrics and gynecology. Their Table 1 outlining the existing podcasts made it clear that a Canadian podcast tailored to our health system is much needed. After reading the article, I visited the podcast page. I found the episodes filled a gap relevant to our typical practice guidelines and would be useful for Canadian medical students.

For me, the article raises two questions. First, based on Table 1 there appears to be a lack of sustainability for previously existing podcasts. Several podcasts consistently churned out weekly or monthly episodes, but then came to a halt. Sustainability has been an issue for other medical education podcasts as well.<sup>2</sup> Are there methods to preventing podcast “burnout”?

Second, is there a potential to use podcasts for advocacy within medical education? The selected topics are excellent, but perhaps there could be an episode on interventions to prevent learner burnout within obstetrics/gynecology, or methods to prevent heteronormativity within lectures about prenatal care.<sup>3,4</sup> I am not sure if this is within the authors’ scope or aims but could be an option, if there is any interest.

All in all, great job and I am looking forward to visiting future episodes.

**Conflicts of Interest:** None

## References

1. Black K, Drummond L, Jain V, Sagle M. Development of a medical education podcast in obstetrics and gynecology. *Can Med Ed J*, 2021 Feb.1; <https://doi.org/10.36834/cmej.71139>
2. Cho D, Cosimini M, Espinoza J. Podcasting in medical education: a review of the literature. *Korean J Med Ed*. 2017 Dec;29(4):229. <https://doi.org/10.3946/kjme.2017.69>
3. Kirubarajan A, Got T, Park B, Li X, Sobel M. Interventions to prevent and treat burnout in obstetrics/gynecology: a scoping review. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can*. 2020 Dec 26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jogc.2020.12.011>
4. Røndahl G, Bruhner E, Lindhe J. Heteronormative communication with lesbian families in antenatal care, childbirth and postnatal care. *J Adv Nurs*. 2009 Nov;65(11):2337-44. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2009.05092.x>