A Review of International English Literature



INSTITUTIONALIZING ENGLISH STUDIES: THE POSTCOLONIAL / POSTINDEPENDENCE CHALLENGE

SATISH C. AIKANT From Colonialism to Indigenism: The Loss and Recovery of Language and Literature (Review Article)

SARAH PHILLIPS CASTEEL.
The Dream of Empire: The Scottish Roots of English Studies in Canada

ARNAB CHAKLADAR
The Postcolonial Bazaar:
Marketing/Teaching Indian Literature

SIMON DURING Literary Subjectivity

LEN FINDLAY
Always Indigenize! The Radical Humanities
in the Postcolonial Canadian Universities

BERNTH LINDFORS
Sites of Production in
African Literature Scholarship

CAMERON MCCARTHY AND
GREG DIMITRIADIS
Art and the Postcolonial Imagination:
Rethinking the Institutionalization of Third
World Aesthetics and Theory

JOHN P. MCCOMBE
The Voyage Out: No Tempest in a Teapot:
Woolf's Revision of Shakespeare and
Critique of Female Education

PRAMOD K. MISHRA
English Language, Postcolonial Subjectivity,
and Globalization in India (Perspective)

WANG NING
The Popularization of English and the
"Decolonization" of Chinese Critical Discourse
(Perspective)

ROB NIXON
Whistling for the Undead: The Moas
(Perspective)

MURRAY G. H. PITTOCK Colony, County or Co-equal? Scotland And The Union (Review Article)

CHRISTINE PRENTICE
Out of the Pre-texts of Imperialism into
"a future they must learn":
Decolonizing the Allegorical Subject

**The West Indian Novel and its Background" — Thirty Years Later (Perspective)

RITA RALEY
Cadmus Britannicus: Between Language and
Literature in British India

ANDREW J. SHIPE
"Has no-one made him out to be an
Irishman?": Shakespeare in the Irish Revival

GAURI VISWANATHAN
An Introduction: Uncommon Genealogies

Is the "Post" in Postcolonial the US in American Studies? The US Beginnings of Commonwealth Studies

ALYS EVE WEINBAUM AND BRENT HAYES EDWARDS On Critical Globality

POEMS BY
Meena Alexander, Mary Baine Campbell
Lawrence Dugan, Oliver Friggieri
Tom Paulin, Kaitano Sarah
Sudeep Sen, Agha Shahid Ali

REVIEWS BY
Shyamal Bagchee, Sarah Phillips Casteel
J. Martin Evans, Catherine Pratt
Jyotsna G. Singh, Jo-Ann Wallace

A REVIEW OF INTERNATIONAL ENGLISH LITERATURE



ARIEL is published quarterly, in January, April, July, and October.

ARIEL is a journal devoted to the critical and scholarly study of the new and the established literatures in English around the world. It welcomes particularly articles on the relationships among the new literatures and between the new and the established literatures. It publishes a limited number of original poems in each issue.

Articles should not exceed 7,000 words and should follow the second edition of the MLA Handbook for Writers of Research Papers or The MLA Style Manual. All articles are refereed blind (authorship unattributed) by at least two readers; consequently, names of contributors should appear only on the title page of manuscripts and not as a running head on each page. Two copies of each manuscript are requested. For accepted articles, disks (in WordPerfect format, if possible) are appreciated. The editors require assurance that authors are not offering their articles concurrently elsewhere. Manuscripts are returned only when accompanied with self-addressed envelopes and Canadian stamps or International Reply Coupons. Translations should be provided for citations in languages other than French. The editors reserve the right to amend phrasing and punctuation in articles and reviews accepted for publication. While every care is taken in the handling of manuscripts, the editors will assume no responsibility in the rare event of their loss.

Opinions expressed in contributions are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the editors, the editorial board, or the publisher.

Editorial correspondence should be sent to the Editors, ARIEL, The University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta T2N 1N4 Canada (Telephone 403: 220-4657; Facsimile 403: 289-1123; E-mail ariel@ucalgary.ca); orders, advertising copies, and other business matters to Mavis Page, Business Manager. ARIEL accepts payment by MasterCard and VISA. Please include your card number and expiry date.

Web site: http://www.acs.ucalgary.ca/~ariel/

Subscription rate per annum post free: Individual \$21.50; Student \$15.00; Institutional \$31.50; Cumulative Index, 1970-1981, \$2.00 (GST—R-108102864). Back numbers and sets on request. Claim period *six* months.

ARIEL is indexed in Arts and Humanities Citation Index, Austlit, British Humanities Index, Humanities Index, MLA International Bibliography, Index to Book Reviews in the Humanities, Canadian Literary Periodical Index: A Guide to Periodicals, and Bowker International Serials Database. Abstracts of articles are published in Abstracts of English Studies. Annual index appears in the October number of ARIEL.

ARIEL is sew-bound for durability.

Copyright © 2000 The Board of Governors, The University of Calgary ISSN 0004-1327 Publications Mail Registration No. 07627 Printed in Canada by MORRISS PRINTING COMPANY LTD., Victoria, B.C.

A Review of International English Literature



Volume Thirty-One

Numbers One & Two

January - April 2000

A REVIEW OF INTERNATIONAL ENGLISH LITERATURE

Volume 31 Numbers 1 & 2 January - April 2000

Contents

	Conte
Articles	
Articles	

An Introduction: Uncommon Genealogies by Gauri Viswanathan	13
Literary Subjectivity by Simon During	33
Is the "Post" in Postcolonial the US in American Studies? The US Beginnings of Commonwealth Studies by Tim Watson	51
"Has no-one made him out to be an Irishman?": Shakespeare in the Irish Revival by Andrew J. Shipe	73
Cadmus Britannicus: Between Language and Literature in British India by Rita Raley	101
The Dream of Empire: The Scottish Roots of English Studies in Canada by Sarah Phillips Casteel	127
Sites of Production in African Literature Scholarship by Bernth Lindfors	153
The Postcolonial Bazaar: Marketing/Teaching Indian Literature by Arnab Chakladar	183
Out of the Pre-texts of Imperialism into "a future they must learn": Decolonizing the Allegorical Subject by Christine Prentice	203
Art and the Postcolonial Imagination: Rethinking the Institutionalization of Third World Aesthetics and Theory	
by Cameron McCarthy and Greg Dimitriadis	231
On Critical Globality by Alys Eve Weinbaum and Brent Hayes Edwards	255
"The Voyage Out": No "Tempest" in a Teapot: Woolf's Revision of Shakespeare and Critique of Female Education	
by John P. McCombe	275
Always Indigenize! The Radical Humanities in the Postcolonial	
Canadian University by Len Findlay	207

Review Articles

Colony, County or Co-equal? Scotland and the Union	
by Murray G. H. Pittock	329
From Colonialism to Indigenism: The Loss and Recovery of Language	007
and Literature by Satish C. Aikant	337
Perspectives	
"The West Indian Novel and its Background" — Thirty Years Later	
by Kenneth Ramchand	351
Whistling for the Undead: The Moas by Rob Nixon	375
English Language, Postcolonial Subjectivity, and Globalization in India by Pramod K. Mishra	383
The Popularization of English and the "Decolonization" of Chinese Critical Discourse by Wang Ning	411
Poems	•
Port Sudan by Meena Alexander	32
Illiterate Heart by Meena Alexander	94
Mimesis by Mary Baine Campbell	126
Dreaming of Cézanne [Extract from a Work-in-Progress]	
by Sudeep Sen	178
Marc Chagall, Over the Town by Tom Paulin	202
Why Byzantium? by Lawrence Dugan	230
Waugh's Ireland by Lawrence Dugan	254
Perfection of the Work by Mary Baine Campbell	327
Ah, Perhaps by Oliver Friggieri	336
The Curse by Kaitano Sarah	350
To Be Loved by Kaitano Sarah	374
The Wound in the Persian Miniature by Mary Baine Campbell	382
Zainab's Lament in Damascus by Agha Shahid Ali	425
Reviews	
Makarand Paranjape, ed. Nativism: Essays in Criticism.	
(Shyamal Bagchee)	427
Robert Crawford, ed. The Scottish Invention of English Literature. (Sarah Phillips Casteel)	431
Balachandra Rajan and Elizabeth Sauer, eds. Milton and the Imperial Vision. (J. Martin Evans)	434
Leigh Dale. The English Men: Professing Literature in Australia. (Catherine Pratt)	436
Thomas Cartelli. Repositioning Shakespeare: National Formations,	
Postcolonial Appropriations. (Jyotsna G. Singh)	438
Patrick Brantlinger. The Reading Lesson: The Threat of Mass Literacy in Nineteenth-Century British Fiction. (Jo-Ann Wallace)	441
Notes on Contributors	445

A REVIEW OF INTERNATIONAL ENGLISH LITERATURE

Founded 1970

Founding Editor: A. NORMAN JEFFARES



Editor

VICTOR J. RAMRAJ

Co-editor (This Issue)
GAURI VISWANATHAN

Associate Editor

PATRICIA SREBRNIK LORNE MACDONALD

Reviews Editor

Editorial Assistant (This Issue)
BETH DRENNING

Editorial Board

Nancy Batty Red Deer College; Margery Fee University of British Columbia; Kelly Hewson Mount Royal College; Murray McGillivray University of Calgary; Marie Loughlin Okanagan University College; David Oakleaf University of Calgary; Shirley Onn University of Calgary; Daphne Read University of Alberta; Eleanor Ty Wilfrid Laurier University, Aritha van Herk University of Calgary; Asha Varadharajan Queen's University; Chris Wiseman University of Calgary.

International Advisory Board

David Dabydeen Warwick University; Sneja Gunew University of British Columbia; Shirley Geok-lin Lim University of California (Santa Barbara); Mbulelo Mzamane University of Fort Hare; Shyamala Narayan Jamia Millia Islamia (New Delhi); Kenneth Ramchand University of the West Indies (St. Augustine); Dieter Riemenschneider Johann Wolfgang Goethe University; Susie Tharu Central Institute of English & Foreign Language (India); Gauri Viswanathan Columbia University; Gillian Whitlock Griffith University; Robert Young Oxford University.

ARIEL is assisted financially by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada and the Department of English, The University of Calgary.

Editorial Note

Then and Now

Should James Joyce and D. H. Lawrence be included in the Modern British Literature syllabus? This is the issue that members of the Syllabus Committee of the Department of English of Brahmpur University are hotly debating at one of their meetings — in Vikram Seth's novel A Suitable Boy (1993). A senior scholar argues that "by tradition, the Modern British Literature paper does not include writers who were living at the time of the Second World War" (55). How then, asks one of the radical young scholars, is T. S. Eliot on the syllabus and not Joyce? Richly comic and exaggerated as this scene is, it raises crucial issues that postindependence societies have been contending with in choosing to continue institutionalizing the discipline of English that had played a critical role in their colonization.

What is interesting about Seth's Brahmpur University debate is that despite the Department Head's pronouncement that "We in India pride ourselves on our Independence — an Independence won at great expense by the best men of our generation" (51), none of the academics, including the young radicals, questions the inclusion of a paper on Modern British Literature to the exclusion of papers on Indian Writers in English. Nothing is said about the role of English in their colonization. There is a ready acceptance of the template they inherited from the colonial days. What is missing also from the discussion is the important question of how British (and other Western) texts should be taught - in a country where the teaching of English literature became an important means of implementing Thomas Babington Maucaulay's directive (in his Minute on Indian higher education) to "form a class . . . of persons, Indian in blood and colour, but English in opinions, in morals, and in intellect [who will] be interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern" (Rushdie 376).

What, one wonders, would the Syllabus Committee have done about Charles Dickens's texts if one of its members had raised the issue of Dickens's declared hatred of Indians. (Believing reports of Indian men's raping of British women during the Rebellion of 1857 — reports that are now dismissed as false by historians [Judd 66] — Dickens declared that "I should do my utmost to exterminate the Race upon whom the stain of the late cruelties rested" [qtd. in James 283]). Would the Syllabus Committee perhaps have chosen Wilkie Collins instead, who, when asked by Dickens to contribute an article to Dickens's Household Words condemning the Rebellion, wrote understandingly of the Indians, drawing, as he said, "excellent moral lessons" from "their own Oriental literature" (587). Collins's The Moonstone, seen as an early anti-imperial novel, sympathetically portrays its Indian players, exhibiting none of Dickens's anti-Indian sentiments and xenopobia (Trodd xviii, viii). Would the Syllabus Committee have taken this ideological factor into account in deciding on Collins and Dickens? Would formal and esthetic merits have prevailed instead? And would hegemonic considerations have dictated what criteria determined these merits?

The meeting of Seth's Syllabus Committee takes place in 1951, less than three years after India ceased to be an occupied country, in August 1947. This temporal detail may explain the still "colonial" mindset of members of the Department of English — the evident descendants of what Rushdie calls in *The Moor's Last Sigh* "Macaulay's Minutemen" (367). Brahmpur's English syllabus appears to be no different from its actual counterparts in universities all over the Commonwealth. At Queen's University of Belfast, for instance, the English syllabus in the late 1950s and early 1960s, when Seamus Heaney was an undergraduate there, was "narrowly focused on an Anglocentric canon, including very few Irish or twentieth century texts" (Eskestad 7).

What is surprising, however, is that in some actual institutions things still had not changed much two or three decades on since the meeting of Seth's fictional Syllabus Committee. In 1985, Edward Said on a visit to a national university of one of the Persian Gulf States, found that the literary courses were traditional, "anachronistic" fare. "Young Arabs dutifully read

Milton, Shakespeare, Wordsworth, Austen, and Dickens." Said is astonished to learn that "no emphasis was placed on the relationship between English and the colonial process that brought the language and its literature to the Arab world." And he found that except in private discussions with a few faculty members, there was no interest in "the English-language literatures of the Caribbean, Africa, or Asia" (305).

Such disciplinary approaches prevailed in these institutions in total obliviousness to the plethora of theoretical and practical literary studies that have examined the soul of the discipline and brought about changes in the way it is institutionalized in Anglo-American universities — its most radical transformation being its approximation with Cultural Studies in some Departments of English. Syllabi and approaches have changed drastically. The canon has gone through various metamorphoses. Just this spring, Oxford University announced that Anglo-Saxon is no longer a compulsory part of its English degree programme (Clare 2). Anglo-Saxon was compulsory in the English syllabus of many colonial universities. On this point, Alvin Kernan notes, that a text like Beowulf made its way into the syllabus in no small measure because of nationalism, which "played its part in pushing literature into the educational system, for during the nineteenth century it became increasingly the mark of a great people and a great language to have produced a great literature, the older the better. Beowulf with its seventh- or eighth-century date (recently revised to circa 1000) gave the English a distinct advantage over the Germans, who were, to their chagrin, never able to claim anything so early" (34). Over at Cambridge (where at the turn of the twentieth century, as Tim Cribb mentions in Imagined Commonwealths: Cambridge Essays on Commonwealth and International Literature in English, Anglo-Saxon along with Norse and Celtic were part of the Department of Archaeology and Anthropology), Gillian Beer (King Edward VII Professor of English) says of the current Faculty of English, "What's so remarkable about Cambridge English is that you can study Arundhati Roy as well as Dickens and Chaucer" (20). These changes in the syllabi of Britain's venerable institutions point up the irony in Said's observations on

English as it is taught in such postindependence/postcolonial universities as the one he visited in the Middle East.

In the last decade or two, however, there have been revaluations of the discipline in postindependence/postcolonial institutions, reflecting current postcolonial and postmodern thinking. The University of the West Indies, in 1964, while still a College of the University of London, had but one text from the Commonwealth on its syllabus — V. S. Naipaul's *A House for Mr Biswas*, published in 1961. (American literature had a sole representative as well, Ernest Hemingway.) Today, there are numerous courses on Caribbean literature and other "Commonwealth" literatures, and the Department of English is now the Department of Literatures in English. There have been major modifications in approach as well — undertaken cautiously, as shown in West Indian scholars' wariness of literary "postcolonialism," viewed as originating in the Anglo-American orbit.

ARIEL has been planning for a number of years to undertake a review of these postcolonial/postindependence disciplinary developments of English studies. Now that we are at the turn of the century, we thought that this is as good a time to get the project going. We approached Gauri Viswanathan — whose milestone research into the Indian origins of the discipline of English, Masks of Conquest: Literary Study and British Rule in India (1989), is one of the studies prompting us to reassess the discipline — to co-edit a special issue of ARIEL on the disciplinary developments. We were pleased that she agreed to do so and would like to thank her for the outstanding work she has done in acquiring, selecting, and editing submissions. We received so many fine contributions from scholars around the world that we had to make this a double issue — a first for ARIEL. Even so. as happens with all special issues, which operate within space and time constraints, some articles and poems regrettably had to be held over for later numbers, resulting in some regions and issues not receiving the coverage they deserve. What we were able to include, however, will give ARIEL's readers an excellent idea of where the discipline of English stands in various parts of the contemporary world.

WORKS CITED

- Beer, Gillian. "Talk with Michael Shire: Creative Writers." CAM (Lent Term 1998): 20-22.
- Clare, John. "Fie! Anglo-Saxon Dropped at Oxford: Tradition Reversed to Make English More Accessible." *National Post* (Canada) 13 May 2000: A2.
- Collins, Wilkie. The Moonstone. 1868. Peterbrough, ON: Broadview, 1999.
- _____. "A Sermon for Sepoys." 1858. Farmer 587-93.
- Cribb, Tim. Imagined Commonwealths: Cambridge Essays on Commonwealth and International Literature in English. London: Macmillan, 1999.
- Ekestad, Nils. "Negotiating the Canon: Regionality and the Impact on Education in Seamus Heaney's Poetry." ARIEL 29.4 (1998): 7-20.
- Farmer, Steve, ed. *The Moonstone*. By Wilkie Collins. Peterborough, ON: Broadview, 1999.
- James, Lawrence. Raj: The Making and Unmaking of British India. London: Little, Brown, 1997.
- Judd, Denis. Empire: The British Imperial Experience From 1765 to the Present. London: HarperCollins, 1996.
- Rushdie, Salman. The Moor's Last Sigh. New York: Random House, 1995.
- Said, Edward. Culture and Imperialism. New York: Vintage Books, 1994.
- Seth, Vikram. A Suitable Boy. Toronto: HarperCollins, 1993.
- Trodd, Anthea. "Introduction to *The Moonstone.*" By Wilkie Collins. Oxford: Oxford UP, 1982.
- Viswanathan, Gauri. "The Beginnings of English Literary Studies in British India." Oxford Literary Review 9.1-2 (1987): 2-26.
- ------. Masks of Conquest: Literary Study and British Rule in India. New York: Columbia UP, 1989.